351st MEETING OF THE STATE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPROVEMENT AND ENERGY RESOURCES AUTHORITY EIERA Office 425 Madison Street, Second Floor Jefferson City, Missouri

### December 16, 2021 10:00 a.m.

# Join WebEx Meeting

### Teleconference Call Number: 1-650-479-3207 WebEx Meeting Number (Access Code): 2452 987 4306 Meeting Password: 952ZpWg5vXe

- 1. Call to Order
- 2. Approval of Minutes
  - A. Approval of the Minutes from the 350<sup>th</sup> Open WebEx Meeting of the Authority held August 5, 2021, in Jefferson City, Missouri
- 3. Presentation of Fiscal Year 2021 Audit
- 4. State Revolving Fund Program
  - A. Program Update
  - B. Transfer of GIC from FSA Capital Management Services, LLC to MassMutual
- 5. Missouri Market Development Program
  - A. Program Update
  - B. Consideration of the Funding Recommendation for the Capital Materials LLC Project and Authorizing the Director or Designee to Enter Into an Agreement on Behalf of the Authority
  - C. Other
- 6. Other Business
  - A. Opportunity for Public Comment (Limit of Four Minutes per Individual)
  - B. Next Meeting Date
  - C. Bank Accounts
  - D. Building Lease Update
- 7. Closed Meeting Pursuant to Section 610.021(1), (3) and (11) RSMO. (as needed)
- 8. Adjournment of Closed Meeting and Return to Open Meeting
- 9. Adjournment of Open Meeting

The Authority may vote to close a portion of the meeting in conjunction with the discussion of litigation matters (including possible legal actions, causes of action, any confidential or privileged communications with its attorneys and the negotiation of items of a contract), real estate matters, personnel matters (including the hiring, firing, disciplining or promoting of personnel), or specification for competitive bidding pursuant to Section 610.021 (1), (3) or (11) RSMO.

Members to be Present:	Caleb Arthur, Chair Mary Fontana Nichols, Vice Chair Deron Cherry, Treasurer, Assistant Secretary Nancy Gibler, Secretary
Staff to be Present:	Joe Boland, Executive Director Kristin Allan Tipton, Development Director Cathy Schulte, Fiscal Manager Genny Eichelberger, Office Support Assistant
Legal Counsel to be Present:	David Brown, Lewis Rice LLC

# MINUTES OF THE 350TH MEETING OF THE STATE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPROVEMENT AND ENERGY RESOURCES AUTHORITY EIERA Office 425 Madison Street, Second Floor Jefferson City, Missouri

# WebEx/In Person Meeting August 5, 2021 10:00 a.m.

EIERA MEMBERS:	Caleb Arthur, Chair Mary Fontana Nichols, Vice Chair Deron Cherry, Treasurer/Assistant Secretary Nancy Gibler, Secretary
EIERA STAFF:	Joe Boland, Executive Director Kristin Allan Tipton, Development Director Genny Eichelberger, Office Support Assistant
LEGAL COUNSEL:	David Brown Lewis Rice LLC
OTHER PARTICIPANTS:	Eric Cowan Tom Liu BofA Securities, Inc.
	Larry Richardson Huntington Securities, Inc.
	Guy Nagahama Samuel A. Ramirez & Co., Inc.
	Dennis Lloyd Columbia Capital Management, LLC
	Karie Puleo UMB Bank, N.A.

# (AGENDA ITEM #1) CALL TO ORDER

Chair Arthur called the 350th meeting of the State Environmental Improvement and Energy

Resources Authority (the "Authority") to order at 10:00 AM. Chair Arthur took roll call and asked

that the meeting record reflect a quorum was present via WebEx video conference.

# (AGENDA ITEM 2) APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES

# (AGENDA ITEM #2A) <u>APPROVAL OF 349TH OPEN TELECONFERENCE MEETING</u> <u>MINUTES (JUNE 3, 2021)</u>

The next order of business was to review and approve the open teleconference meeting

minutes of the 349th meeting (June 3, 2021) of the Authority.

**MOTION:** Motion was made by Ms. Fontana Nichols and seconded by Ms. Gibler to approve the open teleconference minutes of the 349th meeting of the Environmental Improvement and Energy Resources Authority. By roll call vote, Ms. Fontana Nichols, Ms. Gibler and Chair Arthur all voted in favor. Motion carried.

### (AGENDA ITEM #3) MISSOURI MARKET DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM

### (AGENDA ITEM #3A) PROGRAM UPDATE

Chair Arthur called upon Ms. Tipton to give an update on the Missouri Market Development

### Program.

Ms. Tipton stated that she had two applications for the Board's consideration.

# (AGENDA ITEM #3B) CONSIDERATION OF THE FUNDING RECOMMENDATION FOR THE RIPPLE GLASS LLC PROJECT AND AUTHORIZING THE DIRECTOR OR DESIGNEE TO ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT ON BEHALF OF THE AUTHORITY

Ms. Tipton reported to the Board that Ripple Glass LLC, located in Kansas City, is requesting \$250,000 to upgrade obsolescent optical sortation equipment in its glass recycling plant.

Ms. Tipton explained to the Board that new equipment would allow them to recover additional glass pieces that are missed by the outdated optical scanners currently in use. She added that although Ripple Glass was not creating any new jobs, the business is providing a tremendous service in Missouri and throughout the Midwest. She noted that the majority of the glass cullet produced is

used in the manufacture of fiberglass insulation by companies like Owens Corning, and Certainteed. Another end customer is Ardagh Glass that uses cullet from Ripple Glass to create new glass beverage containers.

Ms. Tipton stated that the Missouri Market Development Program Steering Committee, which includes staff from the Missouri Department of Natural Resources, Missouri Department of Economic Development, the Solid Waste Advisory Board, and the Authority, reviewed the application and unanimously recommended that the Board approve funding this project in the amount of \$250,000, not to exceed 50% of the cost of the equipment. This is the maximum amount for which they are eligible. This funding recommendation was unanimous.

**MOTION:** Motion was made by Ms. Fontana Nichols and seconded by Ms. Gibler to authorize the director or designee to negotiate and enter into an agreement on behalf of the Authority with Ripple Glass LLC for an amount up to \$250,000, not to exceed 50 percent of the cost of the equipment. By roll call vote, Ms. Fontana Nichols, Ms. Gibler and Chair Arthur all voted in favor. Motion carried.

### (AGENDA ITEM #3C) <u>CONSIDERATION OF THE FUNDING RECOMMENDATION FOR</u> <u>THE WILSON INDUSTRIES, LLC PROJECT AND AUTHORIZING THE DIRECTOR OR</u> DESIGNEE TO ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT ON BEHALF OF THE AUTHORITY

Ms. Tipton reported to the Board that Wilson Industries, LLC, located in Gainesville, bought the company from Bryant Plastics. In the 1990's Bryant Plastics was one of the first applicants to participate in the Missouri Market Development Program, when the company began using recovered PVC in its production process. Wilson Industries has grown the business considerably since purchasing the company a couple of years ago. They added a recycling business that shreds, grinds and pulverizes PVC pipe back to powder form.

Ms. Tipton stated that Wilson Industries manufactures a specialized plastic core for Kimberly Clark and is currently the only company with the capacity to make this product. With the installation of the new extrusion lines, Wilson Industries can meet this need, as well as additional production goals. Ms. Tipton said that Wilson Industries will create 11 full time employee positions with this project and anticipates diverting 1,820 tons annually from the waste stream.

Chair Arthur noted that Wilson Industries also customizes special fittings for their customers.

Ms. Tipton said that she would be happy to plan a site visit for Board Members to see Wilson Industries, or any other project site.

Chair Arthur said that he would like to visit Wilson Industries.

Ms. Tipton said that she would make arrangements for a site visit.

Ms. Tipton stated that the Missouri Market Development Program Steering Committee, which includes staff from the Missouri Department of Natural Resources, Missouri Department of Economic Development, the Solid Waste Advisory Board, and the Authority, reviewed the application and unanimously recommended that the Board approve funding this project in the amount of \$250,000, not to exceed 50% of the cost of the equipment. This is the maximum amount for which they are eligible. This funding recommendation was unanimous.

Chair Arthur said that he would entertain a motion.

**MOTION:** Motion was made by Ms. Gibler and seconded by Ms. Fontana Nichols to authorize the director or designee to negotiate and enter into an agreement on behalf of the Authority with Wilson Industries, LLC for an amount up to \$250,000, not to exceed 50 percent of the cost of the equipment. By roll call vote, Ms. Gibler, Ms. Fontana Nichols, and Chair Arthur all voted in favor. Motion carried.

### (AGENDA ITEM #3D) OTHER

There was no other business to discuss.

### (AGENDA ITEM #4) BUILDING LEASE UPDATE

Mr. Boland reminded the Board that they had given their approval on May 6, 2021, for a lease extension with property managers, CBRE. After not hearing back from CBRE for over a month, Staff contacted another representative for CBRE. Mr. Boland was informed that Regions had decided

against upgrading the elevator, and that the Authority would have to find different office space by October 31, 2021.

Several days after that call, staff were informed by CBRE that Regions Bank is reconsidering repairs to the elevator since they discovered they needed to be ADA-compliant for their own employees on the first floors of the building.

CBRE has since reached out to Mr. Boland and reported that Regions Bank would be making a final decision on the matter within two weeks.

In case things do not work out to stay at the current location, Mr. Boland looked at four properties this week and one of the properties would make a very nice location for the Authority. However, he was hopeful that he would hear positive feedback from CBRE and that a move would not be necessary. He added that staff is happy with the current space economically and location-wise.

Mr. Cherry joined the meeting.

Mr. Boland briefly brought Mr. Cherry up-to-date on the meeting.

Chair Arthur left the meeting.

### (AGENDA ITEM #5) POTENTIAL PRIVATE ACTIVITY BOND ISSUANCE FOR ENERGY

Vice Chair Nichols called upon Mr. Boland to give a report on the Potential Private Activity Bond Issuance for Energy Projects.

Mr. Boland stated that he previously reached out to each Member to brief them about the Authority having an opportunity to participate in a private activity bond issuance for a renewable energy provider.

To further the mission and purpose of the Authority, and to increase revenues, staff have been pursuing the concept of an energy infrastructure bank, and more specifically, issuing bonds for an energy project. However, the initial transaction that has developed would involve Sun Solar LLC, a Missouri limited liability company that is wholly owned by Caleb Arthur, the Authority's Chairman. This would create an apparent conflict of interest and staff is asking the Board whether the Authority should proceed with this financing in light of the conflict issue.

Mr. Brown reminded the Board that there is a Missouri statute which applies to elected and appointed government officials that addresses conflicts of interest. To summarize, he said that a public official may not participate in any business transaction before the government body in which the official (or a member of his or her family) may receive a financial gain. At a minimum, Chair Arthur cannot participate in any discussions, deliberations or votes involving the issuance of these bonds. It is possible that Chair Arthur would have to resign from his position as a board member of the Authority to remove any possibility of a conflict of interest.

Mr. Boland stated that an advisory opinion from the Missouri Ethics Commission (MEC) could be requested to address this situation.

Mr. Brown agreed that the MEC could issue an advisory opinion to advise Chair Arthur and the Board if Chair Arthur could recuse himself from any discussions or voting on the bond issuance or whether he would need to resign from the Board. Mr. Brown found a 1998 advisory opinion relating to a similar situation on the MEC's website where the MEC advised a board member that he could remain on the board, despite the board taking an action that benefited the member's business. In that case, as long as the board member didn't participate in any way or vote on it, it was permissible.

Mr. Brown stated that he had spoken with MEC in-house counsel and the request for an opinion can be streamlined by email. Under statute, they have 90 days to respond. He noted that while prior opinions are instructive, the Authority cannot rely on them. Only advisory opinions issued to the Authority could be relied on by the Authority.

Mr. Brown further noted that the example on MEC's website involving an Industrial Development Authority was a grant instead of a loan.

Ms. Gibler asked if conventional financing was not an option for Sun Solar LLC.

Mr. Boland stated that he assumed that was how Chair Arthur currently received financing.

Mr. Brown stated that the matter had also been discussed with the Governor's General Counsel and they were not opposed to the bond issuance as long as the Authority's Board was comfortable with it.

Mr. Cherry stated that in the 15 or 20 years he has served on the Authority's Board, a matter like this had not come before the Board and that he was not comfortable with it. He wanted to know how much money was involved and if there was competition with Sun Solar LLC. He felt the Authority was in unchartered waters and he wanted to see an advisory opinion from the MEC at the very least.

Mr. Brown stated that the loan terms would be determined by Chair Arthur and the lender. He said that whatever the Authority was paid would be in line with previous private activity bond issuances.

Discussion ensued.

Mr. Cherry stated that the Board had to stay above this matter. Considering prior issues involving actions taken by the Authority that were later criticized as being improper, he felt they could not allow themselves to be put in a situation where there was such a clear conflict of interest. There were too many variables that could be misinterpreted and he thought this was not good for the Authority. Ms. Gibler and Vice Chair Nichols agreed. Accordingly, the members of the Board determined not to continue pursuing a bond financing with Sun Solar so long as Chair Arthur remained on the Board.

### (AGENDA ITEM #6) OTHER BUSINESS

# (AGENDA ITEM #6A) <u>OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT (LIMIT OF FOUR</u> <u>MINUTES PER INDIVIDUAL</u>)

Vice Chair Nichols asked if anyone would like to make a public comment at this time.

There were no comments.

# (AGENDA ITEM #6B) NEXT MEETING DATE

Mr. Boland stated that the next meeting date could not yet be determined, but it would most

likely be held in October 2021.

# (AGENDA ITEM #6C) OTHER

Vice Chair Nichols asked if there was any other business to address. There was none.

# (AGENDA ITEM #7) <u>CLOSED MEETING PURSUANT TO SECTION 610.021 (12) OR (13)</u> <u>RSMO. (AS NEEDED</u>)

There were no closed meeting items.

# (AGENDA ITEM #8) <u>ADJOURNMENT OF CLOSED MEETING AND RETURN TO OPEN</u> <u>MEETING</u>

# (AGENDA ITEM #9) ADJOURNMENT OF OPEN MEETING

There being no further business to come before the Board, Vice Chair Nichols asked for a

motion to adjourn.

**MOTION:** Motion was made by Ms. Gibler and seconded by Mr. Cherry to adjourn the meeting. By roll call vote, Mr. Cherry, Ms. Gibler and Vice Chair Nichols all voted in favor. Motion carried.

Respectfully submitted,

(SEAL)

Chairman of the Authority

Vice Chair of the Authority

Secretary of the Authority

State Environmental Improvement and Energy Resources Authority 351<sup>st</sup> Board Meeting December 16, 2021

# Agenda Item #3 PRESENTATION OF AUTHORITY AUDIT

### <u>lssue</u>:

Review of the Fiscal Year 2021 Audit.

# Action Needed

No action needed.

# Staff Recommendation:

No action needed.

# Staff Contact:

Joe Boland and Cathy Schulte

### Background:

The Authority's auditors, Williams-Keepers, LLC, began reviewing our records in October and finalized the audit in late November. The final audit report including Financial Statements are attached. There were no findings or material weaknesses identified during the audit process.

Amanda Schultz, the Audit Partner assigned to the EIERA, will attend the meeting to present the audit and answer any questions.

JB:ge

**COMMUNICATION TO THE MEMBERS** 

JUNE 30, 2021



2005 West Broadway, Suite 100, Columbia, MO 65203 OFFICE (573) 442-6171 FAX (573) 777-7800 3220 West Edgewood, Suite E, Jefferson City, MO 65109 OFFICE (573) 635-6196 FAX (573) 644-7240

www.williamskeepers.com

November 15, 2021

To the Members of the Board of the State Environmental Improvement and Energy Resources Authority

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities and each major fund of the State Environmental Improvement and Energy Resources Authority (the Authority) for the year ended June 30, 2021. Professional standards require that we communicate to you the following information related to our audit.

### Our Responsibility under U.S. Generally Accepted Auditing Standards

As stated in our engagement letter dated September 29, 2021, our responsibility, as described by professional standards, is to express an opinion about whether the financial statements prepared by management with your oversight are fairly presented, in all material respects, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Our audit of the financial statements does not relieve you or management of your responsibilities.

Our responsibility is to plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that the financial statements are free of material misstatement. As a part of our audit, we considered the internal control of the Authority. Such considerations were solely for the purpose of determining our audit procedures and not to provide any assurance concerning such internal control.

### Planned Scope, Timing of the Audit, and Other

An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements; therefore, our audit involved judgment about the number of transactions examined and the areas to be tested.

Our audit included updating our understanding of the Authority and its environment, including internal control, sufficient to assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements and to design the nature, timing, and extent of further audit procedures. Material misstatements may result from (1) errors, (2) fraudulent financial reporting, (3) misappropriation of assets, or (4) violations of laws or governmental regulations that are attributable to the Authority or to acts by management or employees acting on behalf of the Authority.

We performed the audit according to the planned scope and timing previously communicated to management during our preliminary audit meetings.

### Significant Audit Matters

### Qualitative Aspects of Accounting Practices

Management is responsible for the selection and use of appropriate accounting policies. The significant accounting policies used by the Authority are described in Note 1 of the financial statements. Except as noted below, no new accounting policies were adopted, and the application of existing policies was not changed during fiscal year 2021. We noted no transactions entered into by the Authority during the year for which there is a lack of authoritative guidance or consensus. All significant transactions have been recognized in the financial statements in the proper period.

During the year ended June 30, 2021, the Authority implemented Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement 84, *Fiduciary Activities*, which provided guidance regarding the identification of fiduciary activities for accounting and financial reporting purposes and changed how those activities should be reported.

The following summarizes new accounting standards that may impact the Authority in the future:

GASB has also issued Statement 87, *Leases*, which is intended to improve financial reporting about leasing transactions. This statement will require governmental entities that lease assets – referred to as "lessees" – to recognize on the government-wide statement of net position (and on the proprietary funds' statement of net position, if applicable) the assets and liabilities for the rights and obligations created by those leases with lease terms of more than 12-months. The accounting by governmental entities that own the assets leased by the lessee – also known as lessor accounting – will remain largely unchanged from current generally accepted accounting principles. This statement will be effective for the Authority's year ending June 30, 2022.

GASB has also issued Statement 91, *Conduit Debt Obligations*. This statement provides a single method of reporting conduit debt obligations by issuers and eliminates the diversity in practice associated with commitments extended by issuers, arrangements associated with conduit debt obligations, and related note disclosures. This statement will be effective for the Authority's year ending June 30, 2023.

Accounting estimates are an integral part of the financial statements prepared by management and are based on management's knowledge and experience about past and current events and assumptions about future events. Certain accounting estimates are particularly sensitive because of their significance to the financial statements and because of the possibility that future events affecting them may differ significantly from those expected. The most sensitive estimates affecting the Authority's financial statements were the allowance for uncollectible receivables, the net pension and OPEB liabilities, and the deferred outflows and inflows of resources related to the pension and OPEB plans. We evaluated the key factors and assumptions used to develop these estimates in determining that they are reasonable in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

Certain financial statement disclosures are particularly sensitive because of their significance to financial statement users. The most sensitive disclosures affecting the financial statements were deposits and investments, fair value measurements, commitments, and pension and OPEB plan information.

The financial statement disclosures are neutral, consistent, and clear.

### Difficulties Encountered in Performing the Audit

We encountered no difficulties in dealing with management in performing and completing our audit.

### Corrected and Uncorrected Misstatements

Professional standards require us to accumulate all known and likely misstatements identified during the audit, other than those that are trivial, and communicate them to the appropriate level of management. Management has corrected all such misstatements. In addition, none of the misstatements detected as a result of audit procedures and corrected by management were material, either individually or in the aggregate, to the Agency's financial statements taken as a whole.

### Disagreements with Management

For purposes of this letter, a disagreement with management is a financial accounting, reporting, or auditing matter, whether or not resolved to our satisfaction, that could be significant to the financial statements or the auditor's report. We are pleased to report that no such disagreements arose during the course of our audit.

### Management Representations

We have requested certain representations from management that are included in the management representation letter dated November 15, 2021.

### Management Consultations with Other Independent Accountants

In some cases, management may decide to consult with other accountants about auditing and accounting matters, similar to obtaining a "second opinion" on certain situations. If a consultation involves application of an accounting principle to the Authority's financial statements or a determination of the type of auditor's opinion that may be expressed on those statements, our professional standards require the consulting accountant to check with us to determine the consultant has all the relevant facts. To our knowledge, there were no such consultations with other accountants.

### Other Audit Findings or Issues

We generally discuss a variety of matters, including the application of accounting principles and auditing standards, with management each year prior to retention as the Authority's auditors. However, these discussions occurred in the normal course of our professional relationship and our responses were not a condition to our retention.

#### Internal Control

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Authority's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Authority's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Authority's internal control.

A *deficiency* in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses. In addition, because of inherent limitations in internal control, including the possibility of management override of controls, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected by such controls. Given these limitations during our audit, we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

### Other Matters

We applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information (RSI) that supplement the basic financial statements. Our procedures consisted of inquires of management regarding the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquires, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the financials statements. We did not audit the RSI and do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the RSI.

We were engaged to report on the schedule of investments held and schedules of tax-exempt revenue bonds issues, both outstanding and defeased, which accompany the financial statements but are not RSI. With respect to the supplementary information, we made certain inquiries of management and evaluated the form, content, and methods of preparing the information to determine the information complies with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, the method of preparing it has not changed from the prior period, and the information is appropriate and complete in relation to our audit of the financial statements. We compared and reconciled the supplementary information to the underlying accounting records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves.

### Restriction on Use

This information is intended solely for the information and use of the Board and management of the Authority and is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Sincerely,

Williams Keepers UC

WILLIAMS-KEEPERS LLC

# SUMMARY REPORT

# STATE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPROVEMENT AND ENERGY RESOURCES AUTHORITY

JUNE 30, 2021



2005 West Broadway, Suite 100, Columbia, MO 65203 OFFICE (573) 442-6171 FAX (573) 777-7800

3220 West Edgewood, Suite E, Jefferson City, MO 65109 OFFICE (573) 635-6196 FAX (573) 644-7240

www.williamskeepers.com

November 15, 2021

Members of the Board of the State Environmental Improvement and Energy Resources Authority

We appreciate the opportunity to assist the members of the Board of the State Environmental Improvement and Energy Resources Authority (the Authority) in its governance and oversight function by providing annual audit services. Our audit reports for the year ended June 30, 2021, have been provided to management and include the following:

#### **Financial Statements**

This document contains the Authority's annual financial statements for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2021, along with our report on those financial statements.

Highlights are as follows:

- We issued an "unmodified" or a "clean" opinion on the financial statements. In our opinion, the financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the governmental activities and each major fund of the Authority as of June 30, 2021, and the respective changes in its financial position for the year then ended in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP).
- Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements, including the design and implementation of internal control.
- We used our judgment in determining how to audit the Authority, which was based on our risk assessment performed on balances, transactions, processes, and controls. We focused our attention on areas where the financial statements could be misstated.
- We evaluated the appropriateness of accounting policies, the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates, and the overall financial statement presentation.
- The financial statements include two different sets of financial statements:
  - Government-wide financial statements, which are full accrual and include all funds (except fiduciary funds), as well as capital assets and other liabilities.
  - Fund financial statements, which are separated into governmental funds (modified accrual) and fiduciary funds (full accrual).

• The following summarizes the highlights from the government-wide financial statements as of and for the years ended June 30, 2021 and 2020. The Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) in the financial statements discusses the changes in the various categories.

	 2021	 2020
Total assets Total deferred outflows Total liabilities	\$ 3,188,586 277,555 1,062,653	\$ 3,297,550 123,521 768,638
Total deferred inflows	318,064	460,192
Net position	2,085,424	2,192,241
Total revenues Total expenses Change in net position	1,419,117 (1,526,436) (107,319)	1,152,641 (1,249,213) (96,572)

#### Auditors' Communication Letter

This letter consists of comments about the audit process and its results that are required under our professional standards to be communicated to an audit or similar committee of the governing board of an organization. For the Authority, the members of the Board serve that role.

Highlights are as follows:

- We noted no transactions that we considered both unusual and significant.
  - o GASB 84, Fiduciary Activities, was implemented during fiscal year 2021.
  - GASB 87, *Leases*, will be implemented during fiscal year 2022, and GASB 91, *Conduit Debt Obligations*, will be implemented during fiscal year 2023.
- We evaluated the estimates affecting the financial statements and found them reasonable in relation to the financial statements as a whole.
- The financial statement disclosures are neutral, consistent, and clear. All required disclosures are included.
- We found the accounting records to be in good order and proposed no significant adjustments as a result of our audit procedures.
- We had no disagreements with management on accounting or auditing issues, we had no difficulties in performing our audit, and we felt we received full cooperation from the Authority's staff.
- Although the scope of our engagement was not directed towards an opinion on internal control, we did not identify any material weaknesses in internal control as a result of our audit procedures.

### Market Development Program Schedule

• We issued an "unmodified" or a "clean" opinion on the Schedule of Missouri Market Development Program – Financial Assistance Awards (the Schedule). In our opinion, the Schedule presented fairly, in all material respects, such program awards of the Authority from inception through June 30, 2021, in conformity with the cash basis of accounting.

We wish to thank the Authority's personnel for their cooperation and assistance during our audit. The information in this audit report is intended solely for the use of the Board and management of the Authority.

Sincerely,

Williams Keepers UC

WILLIAMS-KEEPERS LLC

# **REPORT OF**

# STATE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPROVEMENT AND ENERGY RESOURCES AUTHORITY

JUNE 30, 2021

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE

Independent Auditors' Report	1 - 2
Management's Discussion and Analysis	3 - 7
Government-Wide Financial Statements:	
Statement of Net Position	8
Statement of Activities	9
Fund Financial Statements:	
Governmental Funds Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheet	10
Reconciliation of the Governmental Funds Balance Sheet to the	
Statement of Net Position	11
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances	12
Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes	
in Fund Balances to the Statement of Activities	13
Fiduciary Funds Financial Statements:	
Statement of Fiduciary Net Position - Custodial Funds	14
Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position - Custodial Funds	15
Notes to Financial Statements	16 - 32
Required Supplementary Information:	
Budgetary Comparison Schedules:	
General Fund	33 - 34
Market Development Program	35 - 36
Missouri Brownfields Revolving Loan Fund	37
Pension Plan Information:	
Schedule of the Authority's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability	38
Schedule of Authority Contributions	38
Other Post-Employment Benefit (OPEB) Information:	
Schedule of the Authority's Proportionate Share of the Net OPEB Liability	39
Schedule of Authority Contributions	39
Supplementary Information:	
Combining Fiduciary Funds Financial Statements:	
Combining Statement of Fiduciary Net Position - Custodial Funds	40
Combining Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position - Custodial Funds	41
Schedule of Investments Held	42
Schedule of Tax Exempt Revenue Bonds Issued and Outstanding	43
Schedule of Tax Exempt Revenue Bonds Issued But Matured	44 - 47



# **INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT**

Members of the Board of the State Environmental Improvement and Energy Resources Authority

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities and each major fund for the State Environmental Improvement and Energy Resources Authority (the Authority) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2021, and related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Authority's basic financial statements as listed in the accompanying table of contents.

### Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with U.S. generally accepted auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the Authority's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Authority's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

### Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities and each major fund of the State Environmental Improvement and Energy Resources Authority as of June 30, 2021, and the respective changes in financial position for the year then ended, in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

### **Other Matters**

### Required Supplementary Information

U.S. generally accepted accounting principles require that the management's discussion and analysis, the budgetary comparison schedules, the pension plan schedules, and the other post-employment benefit plan (OPEB) schedules as listed in the table of contents be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with U.S. generally accepted auditing standards, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

### Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements that collectively comprise the Authority's basic financial statements. The supplementary information listed in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. Except as noted in the following paragraph, such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with U.S. generally accepted auditing standards. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

Williams Keepers UC

November 15, 2021

### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS JUNE 30, 2021

The following Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) of the State Environmental Improvement and Energy Resources Authority's (the Authority) financial performance provides an overview of the Authority's financial activities for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2021. The information contained in the MD&A should be considered in conjunction with the information presented as part of the Authority's basic financial statements. Following this MD&A are the basic financial statements of the Authority with the notes thereto which are essential to a full understanding of the data contained in the financial statements. The Authority's basic financial statements have the following components: (1) government-wide financial statements, (2) fund financial statements, and (3) notes to the financial statements.

The government-wide financial statements are designed to provide the readers with a broad overview of the Authority's finances in a manner similar to a private-sector business. The statement of net position presents information on all of the Authority's assets and liabilities, with the difference between the two reported as net position. Over time, increases or decreases in net position may serve as a useful indicator of whether the financial position of the Authority is improving or deteriorating. The statement of activities presents information showing how the Authority's net position changed during the fiscal year. All changes in net position are reported as soon as the underlying event giving rise to the change occurs, regardless of the timing of the related cash flows. Thus, revenues and expenses are reported in the statement for some items that will result in cash flows in future fiscal periods. The government-wide financial statements present information about the Authority as a whole. All of the activities of the Authority are considered to be governmental activities.

Governmental funds financial statements focus on near-term inflows and outflows of spendable resources, as well as balances of spendable resources available at the end of the fiscal year. Such information may be useful in evaluating a government's near-term financing requirements. Because the focus of governmental funds is narrower than that of the government-wide statements, it is useful to compare the information presented for governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements. By doing so, the reader may better understand the long-term impact of the government's near-term financing decisions. Both the governmental funds balance sheet and the governmental funds statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances provide a reconciliation to facilitate the comparison between governmental funds and governmental activities.

Fiduciary funds are used to account for resources held for the benefit of parties outside the government. Fiduciary funds are not reflected in the government-wide financial statements because the resources of those funds are not available to support the Authority's programs.

The notes to the financial statements provide additional information that is essential for a full understanding of the information provided in the government-wide and fund financial statements.

# CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The following condensed financial information is presented from the Authority's government-wide financial statements:

	2021	2020
Current and other assets Capital assets, net	\$ 3,188,406 180	\$ 3,296,852 1,199
Total assets	3,188,586	3,298,051
Total deferred outflows of resources	277,555	123,521
Total liabilities	1,062,653	768,637
Total deferred inflows of resources	318,064	460,192
Net position Net investment in capital assets Restricted for market development programs Restricted for Brownfields program Unrestricted	180 357,310 638,837 1,089,097	1,199 357,216 666,380 1,167,948
Total net position	\$ 2,085,424	\$ 2,192,743

Summary of Net Position as of June 30, 2021 and 2020

	2021		2020
Program revenues:			
General operations	\$	394,188	\$ 203,909
Market development		998,650	751,073
Missouri Brownfields Revolving Loan Fund		3,092	138,675
General revenues:			
Investment income		21,512	47,309
Other		1,675	 11,675
Total revenues		1,419,117	 1,152,641
Expenses:			
Personnel services		350,531	254,742
Contractual services		905,823	761,280
Other operating costs		269,063	231,669
Depreciation		1,019	 1,020
Total expenses		1,526,436	1,248,711
Change in net position		(107,319)	(96,070)
Net position, beginning of year		2,192,743	 2,288,813
Net position, end of year	\$	2,085,424	\$ 2,192,743

### Summary of Changes in Net Position from Operating Results for the Years Ended June 30, 2021 and 2020

For the year ended June 30, 2021, net position decreased by \$107,319, from \$2.19 million to \$2.09 million. This was a combination of total assets and deferred outflows of resources increasing by \$44,569 or 1.3% from the prior year and total liabilities and deferred inflows of resources increasing by \$151,188 or 12.4% from the prior year.

In fiscal year 2021, the Authority's total net pension liability increased by \$269,837 from \$453,025 to \$722,862. The Authority's net OPEB liability decreased by \$8,998 from \$240,551 to \$231,553. Deferred outflows changed overall by a net increase of \$154,034 and deferred inflows changed overall by a net decrease of \$142,128. These changes are due to fluctuations in the Authority's pension and OPEB plans.

Revenue from general operations increased by \$190,279 or 93.3% from \$203,909 to \$394,188. This increase is primarily due to an increase in bond issuance revenue compared to the previous fiscal year. It also reflects reimbursement from the Department of Natural Resources for the administration of a contract with Barr Engineering. Revenue from the Market Development Program increased by \$247,577 or 33.0% from the previous year as a result of several award recipients moving forward during the fiscal year that were expected to move in the prior year, resulting in an increase in Market Development funds being drawn. The Brownfields Program saw a decrease in project expenditures and related revenue as the program continues to wind down.

Total revenues for the year increased by \$266,476 or 23.1%. As a percent of total revenues, general operations revenue increased from approximately 17.7% of total revenues in fiscal year 2020 to 27.8% in fiscal year 2021. As a percent of total revenues, Market Development revenue increased from 65.2% in fiscal year 2020 to 70.4% in fiscal year 2021. As a percent of total revenues, Missouri Brownfields Revolving Loan Fund revenue decreased from approximately 12.0% in fiscal year 2020 to approximately 0.2% in fiscal year 2021.

Total expenses for fiscal year 2021 increased by \$277,725 or 22.2% over those of the prior year, primarily due to additional project expenditures in the Market Development Program.

# FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OF FUNDS

Total fund balances for the governmental funds decreased to \$2,749,877 from the prior year total of \$2,865,679, reflecting a decrease of \$115,802. This was primarily due to total expenses continuing to outpace total revenues during fiscal year 2021.

The Market Development Program's revenues increased by \$247,577 or 33.0% in fiscal year 2021. Increased activity in the program, which operates primarily on a reimbursement basis, is largely due to an increase in project expenditures as recipients move forward with their projects.

# CAPITAL ASSET AND DEBT ADMINISTRATION

The Authority maintains certain furnishings and office equipment for its corporate purposes. As of June 30, 2021, the Authority had net capital assets of \$180 compared to \$1,199 as of June 30, 2020.

The Authority has no long-term debt but does issue tax-exempt bonds on behalf of public entities, political subdivisions of the State of Missouri and public and private companies to finance certain eligible projects. These bonds are considered to be non-recourse conduit debt obligations and, as such, are not included in the Authority's financial statements. As of June 30, 2021, approximately \$728 million of these bonds were outstanding.

### **BUDGET VARIATIONS**

General operations revenue for the Authority continues to be affected by the lack of demand for new-money State Revolving Fund (SRF) bonds, as the SRF program continues to make direct loans using available program equity. The Authority issued SRF refunding bonds during FY21 to redeem nineteen series of outstanding bonds which generated operational revenue for the Authority in the fall of 2021. Market conditions also continue to limit demand for Private Activity Bond (PAB) issuances. The Authority continues to expand its work in other areas, many of which are offered as fee for service activities to offset less predictable bond revenues. Overall, General Fund expenditures fell below budgeted expectations with a 2.9% variance. Once again, the most significant expenditure that was less than the budgeted amount was in office salaries, showing a positive variance of \$38,666. This was primarily due to the vacancy of the Deputy Director position during several months of the fiscal year. The most significant expenditure in excess of budget was in miscellaneous professional fees. The budgeted amount was \$40,000, yet actual expenses were \$94,983. Part of this overage was in financial advisor fees and bond counsel fees in preparation for the 2020s the 2020B refunding that occurred during FY21. However, the majority of the variance is due to a professional contract with Barr Engineering that the Authority administered on behalf of the Department of Natural Resources. The amount of the contract was approximately \$100,000, which spanned two fiscal years. It was not included in the original budgeted amount of \$40,000.

Revenues and expenditures for the Market Development Program were considerably lower than budgeted. Revenues and expenditures are budgeted based on the total project funds available plus a reasonable amount of unexpended funds carried over from previous awards; however, all project funds may not be awarded that year and those awarded may not be expended in that fiscal year. Payroll expenditures remain lower than budgeted due to holding the full-time Market Development Director position vacant. Similarly, revenues and expenditures for the Brownfields Revolving Loan Program were also lower than budgeted. Revenues and expenditures are budgeted based on all available project funds being awarded. The federal grant for this program expired in FY20, so the activity has been minimal in the last fiscal year.

### ECONOMIC FACTORS AND SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Historically, a substantial portion of the Authority's annual revenues are derived from fees related to bond issuances under the SRF Program and the PAB Program. Revenues earned under these bond issuance programs are subject to influences outside the control of the Authority. Annual participation is unpredictable and highly variable as was evidenced during the past several fiscal years.

The State Revolving Fund Program has sufficient equity to manage current cash needs. Until demand increases, SRF issuances will continue to be smaller in size, and be less frequent than in the past and, consequently, lower revenues are anticipated for future years.

Public interest in water and wastewater infrastructure construction appears to remain at current levels. Future federal appropriations for the SRF program are likely to increase substantially as outlined in current infrastructure spending bills.

### STATEMENT OF NET POSITION June 30, 2021

ASSETS	
Cash	\$ 771,763
Investments	1,950,059
Accounts and grants receivable	144,919
Brownfields advances and loans receivable	316,171
Accrued interest	494
Prepaid and other assets	5,000
Capital assets, net	180
Total assets	3,188,586
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES	
Pension related	256,432
OPEB related	21,123
Total deferred outflows of resources	277,555
LIABILITIES	
Accounts payable	79,936
Accrued liabilities	28,302
Net pension liability	722,862
Net OPEB liability	231,553
Total liabilities	1,062,653
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES	
Pension related	171,108
OPEB related	146,956
Total deferred inflows of resources	318,064
NET POSITION	
Net investment in capital assets	180
Restricted for market development programs	357,310
Restricted for Brownfields program	638,837
Unrestricted	1,089,097
Total net position	\$ 2,085,424

#### **STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES** For the Year Ended June 30, 2021

	E	Expenses		Program narges for Services	Ope	enues erating Grants Contributions	Net Revenue (Expense) and Changes in Net Position		
Functions/Programs	-								
General operations	\$	542,149	\$	394,188	\$	-	\$	(147,961)	
Market development		953,652		-		998,650		44,998	
Missouri Brownfields:									
Revolving Loan Fund		30,635		-	·	3,092		(27,543)	
Total governmental activities	\$	1,526,436	\$	394,188	\$	1,001,742		(130,506)	
	Ι	eral revenue nvestment i Other		e				21,512 1,675	
		Total gen	eral r	evenues				23,187	
	Cha	nge in net p	ositic	n				(107,319)	
	Net	position, be	ginni	ng of year				2,192,743	
	Net	position, en	d of y	year			\$	2,085,424	

#### BALANCE SHEET GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS June 30, 2021

		Market Brownfields		Total	
		Development	Revolving	Governmental	
	General	Program	Loan Fund	Funds	
ASSETS					
Cash	\$ 91,122	\$ 357,311	\$ 323,330	\$ 771,763	
Investments	1,950,059	-	-	1,950,059	
Accounts and grants receivable	56,958	87,961	-	144,919	
Due from other funds	28,660	-	-	28,660	
Accrued interest	494	-	-	494	
Prepaid and other assets	5,000			5,000	
Total assets	\$ 2,132,293	\$ 445,272	\$ 323,330	\$ 2,900,895	
LIABILITIES					
Accounts payable	\$ 19,970	\$ 59,302	\$ 664	\$ 79,936	
Accrued liabilities	28,302	-	-	28,302	
Due to other funds		28,660		28,660	
Total liabilities	48,272	87,962	664	136,898	
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES					
Unavailable revenue	14,120			14,120	
Total deferred inflows of resources	14,120			14,120	
FUND BALANCES					
Nonspendable - prepaid assets	5,000	-	-	5,000	
Restricted for market development programs	-	357,310	-	357,310	
Restricted for Brownfields program	-	-	322,666	322,666	
Unassigned	2,064,901			2,064,901	
Total fund balances	2,069,901	357,310	322,666	2,749,877	
Total liabilities, deferred inflows of resources and fund balances	\$ 2,132,293	\$ 445,272	\$ 323,330	\$ 2,900,895	

#### RECONCILIATION OF THE GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS BALANCE SHEET TO THE STATEMENT OF NET POSITION June 30, 2021

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net position are different because:

Total fund balance - governmental funds		\$ 2,749,877
Capital assets used in governmental activities are not current financial		
resources and, therefore, are not reported in the governmental funds:		
Governmental capital assets	124,210	
Less accumulated depreciation	(124,030)	
Capital assets, net		180
Deferred outflows of resources are not financial resources and, therefore,		
are not reported in the governmental funds:		
Deferred outflows of resources - pension contributions	56,822	
Deferred outflows of resources - pension other	199,610	
Deferred outflows of resources - OPEB contributions	10,213	
Deferred outflows of resources - OPEB other	10,910	
Total deferred outflows of resources		277,555
Long-term liabilities, including deferred inflows of resources, are not due		
and payable in the current period and, therefore, are not reported as		
liabilities in the governmental funds:		
Net pension liability	(722,862)	
Net OPEB liability	(231,553)	
Deferred inflows of resources - pension related	(171, 108)	
Deferred inflows of resources - OPEB related	(146,956)	
Total long-term liabilities		(1,272,479)
Other long-term assets are not available to pay for current period		
expenditures and, therefore, are not recorded in the governmental funds:		
Brownfields advances and loans, net of repayments of \$488,996		316,171
Deferred inflows of resources in the governmental fund statements are		
considered earned revenues in the government-wide financial statements		14,120
Net position of governmental activities		\$ 2,085,424

#### STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS For the Year Ended June 30, 2021

	Major Funds							
		Missouri						
		Market Brownfields				ownfields		Total
			Deve	elopment	Re	evolving	Governmental	
	(	General	Program		Loan Fund			Funds
REVENUES								
General operations	\$	425,068	\$	-	\$	-	\$	425,068
Market development intergovernmental revenue		-		998,650		-		998,650
Brownfields loan repayments,								
including interest payments of \$3,092		-		-		43,033		43,033
Investment income		21,418		94		-		21,512
Other		1,675		-		-		1,675
Total revenues		448,161		998,744		43,033		1,489,938
EXPENDITURES								
Personnel services		391,084		39,770		-		430,854
Contractual services		-		875,188		30,635		905,823
Operating expenditures		185,371		83,692		-		269,063
Total expenditures		576,455		998,650		30,635		1,605,740
Net change in fund balances		(128,294)		94		12,398		(115,802)
Fund balances, beginning of year		2,198,195		357,216		310,268		2,865,679
Fund balances, end of year	\$ 2	2,069,901	\$	357,310	\$	322,666	\$	2,749,877

#### RECONCILIATION OF THE STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES OF GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS TO THE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES For the Year Ended June 30, 2021

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities are different because:

Net change in fund balances - total governmental funds		\$ (115,802)
Governmental funds report capital outlay as expenditures. However, in the statement of activities the cost of those assets is allocated over their		(1.0.0)
estimated useful lives and reported as depreciation expense.		(1,019)
Some expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use		
of current financial resources and, therefore, are not reported as		
expenditures in the governmental funds:		
Pension expense	23,444	
OPEB expense	11,879	
Total		35,323
Governmental funds report long-term loan repayments as revenues, which		
are not reported as revenues in the statement of activities:		
Brownfields loan repayments		(39,941)
Revenues in the statement of activities that do not provide current financial		
resources are not reported as revenues in the governmental funds:		
Change in deferred inflows of resources		14,120
		, -
Change in net position of governmental activities		\$ (107,319)
-		 

### STATEMENT OF FIDUCIARY NET POSITION CUSTODIAL FUNDS June 30, 2021

	Total	
	Custodial	
	 Funds	
ASSETS		
Cash	\$ 2,381,513	
Total assets	 2,381,513	
LIABILITIES		
Accounts payable	 484	
Total liabilities	 484	
NET POSITION		
Amount held for others	 2,381,029	
Total net position	\$ 2,381,029	
### STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN FIDUCIARY NET POSITION CUSTODIAL FUNDS For the Year Ended June 30, 2021

	Total				
	Custodial				
		Funds			
ADDITIONS					
Utility payments	\$	321,500			
Management payments		12,500			
Investment income		282			
Total additions		334,282			
DISBURSEMENTS					
Distributions to others		1,371,177			
Administrative expenses		34,709			
Total deductions		1,405,886			
Change in net position		(1,071,604)			
Net position, beginning of year		3,452,633			
Net position, end of year	\$	2,381,029			

The notes to financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## 1. BACKGROUND AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

*Background and purpose:* The State Environmental Improvement and Energy Resources Authority (the Authority), created in 1972, is an independent, self-supporting, quasi-governmental agency, governed by a five-member Board appointed by the Governor of the State of Missouri (the State). The Authority is administratively placed in the Missouri Department of Natural Resources. The State's accountability for the Authority does not extend beyond making the Board appointments.

Due to the special independent status as "a body corporate and politic," the Authority is authorized to finance, acquire, construct and equip projects for the purpose of reducing, preventing or controlling pollution and to provide for the development of energy resources of the State. The usual method of financing is through the issuance of tax-exempt revenue bonds and notes. The Authority receives fees for services provided in the issuance process.

The Authority is also empowered to conduct environmental and energy research and development activities, develop alternative methods of financing environmental and energy projects, and assist Missouri communities, organizations, and businesses in obtaining low-cost funds and other financial assistance for projects related to the Authority's purposes.

The Authority has also been mandated by the General Assembly (RSMo 260.005 through 260.125) to implement a number of projects in cooperation with the Department of Natural Resources and the Department of Economic Development, including administering the Missouri Market Development Program, which provides market development assistance through technical and financial support to businesses and organizations that develop marketable end-products from recycled materials. Funding for this program is provided through the Solid Waste Management Fund created by Senate Bill 530, passed in 1990 and subsequently amended.

The Authority, in cooperation with the Department of Natural Resources and other agencies, established and operates the State Revolving Fund (SRF), which provides financing to communities and districts for construction of clean water and drinking water projects.

The Authority is also a provider of technical research for the State. Studies have been requested by the General Assembly and have been conducted on numerous energy and environmental issues, including energy usage and efficiency and solid and hazardous waste. Partnerships have also been created with entities, both public and private, to promote and educate Missouri's citizens on a variety of environmental and energy related topics.

The Authority is a discretely presented component unit of the State as defined by Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 61, *The Financial Reporting Entity*, as the Authority does not meet the qualification for blending.

The basic financial statements of the Authority include all of the funds relevant to the operations of the Authority. The financial statements presented herein do not include agencies that have been formed under applicable state laws or separate and distinct units of government apart from the Authority that have been determined not to be component units.

Component units are legally separate organizations for which the elected officials of the primary government are financially accountable. Financially accountable means the primary government is able to impose its will or the component unit may provide financial benefits or impose a burden on the primary government. In addition, component units can be other organizations for which the nature and significance of the relationship with the primary government are such that exclusion would cause the reporting entity's financial statements to be misleading if excluded.

As required by generally accepted accounting principles, the Authority has evaluated the above criteria to determine whether any other entity meets the definition of a component unit and must be included in these financial statements. The Authority does not have any component units that meet the above criteria.

*Basis of presentation*: The government-wide financial statements (i.e., the statement of net position and the statement of activities) report the overall information on the Authority without displaying individual funds. These statements exclude information about fiduciary activities where the Authority holds assets in an agency capacity for others since these funds cannot be used to support the Authority's own programs. The effect of interfund activities has also been removed from these statements.

The statement of activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct expenses of a given function or segment are offset by program revenues. Direct expenses are those that are clearly identifiable with a specific function or segment. Program revenues include 1) charges to customers or applicants who purchase, use, or directly benefit from goods, services, or privileges provided by a given function or segment and 2) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular function or segment. Investment income and other items not properly included among program revenues are reported instead as general revenues.

Separate fund financial statements are provided for governmental funds and fiduciary funds, although the latter are excluded from the government-wide financial statements. Major individual governmental funds are reported as separate columns in the fund financial statements.

The Authority uses funds to report its financial position and results of its operations in the fund financial statements. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain government functions or activities. A fund is a separate accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. Funds are classified into three categories – governmental, proprietary, and fiduciary.

The Authority reports the following major governmental funds:

General Fund – The General Fund is the general operating fund of the Authority. It is used to account for all financial resources and activities of its basic operations except those required to be accounted for in another fund.

Market Development Program Fund (Special Revenue Fund) – The Market Development Program Fund is used to account for the proceeds of specific revenue sources that are restricted to expenditures for specific purposes. This fund specifically accounts for activities of the Missouri Market Development Program as described in Note 6.

Missouri Brownfields Revolving Loan Fund (Special Revenue Fund) – The Missouri Brownfields Revolving Loan Fund is used to administer grant awards and cooperative agreements to states, political subdivisions, and tribes as described in Note 6.

Additionally, the Authority reports the following fiduciary type custodial funds:

Weatherization Program Fund – This custodial fund is used to account for the flow of funds from Ameren Gas, Ameren UE, Empire Electric, Empire Gas, Liberty Utilities, and Spire Inc. to recipient weatherization agencies within each company's service area as further described in Note 7.

Natural Resources Damages Program Fund – This custodial fund is used to account for the flow of settlement funds used to acquire, rehabilitate and/or preserve natural resources as further described in Note 7.

*Basis of accounting:* The government-wide financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting.

The governmental fund and fiduciary funds financial statements are presented using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized as soon as they are both measurable and available. As such, the Authority recognizes revenue on application fees when received since the fees are nonrefundable and the earnings process is complete in a short period of time.

The Authority recognizes revenue on issuance fees at the time of issuance of the related bonds since, until actual issuance, the amount or the certainty of receiving the issuance fee is not determinable. The Authority considers revenues to be available if they are collected within 90-days of the end of the current fiscal period. Expenditures related to bond issuances are recognized when incurred as there is no reasonable method of allocating them to issuance revenues because of the above-mentioned uncertainties. Grant revenues are recognized when reimbursable grant expenditures are made.

The Authority's general spending prioritization policy is to consider restricted resources to have been used first, followed by committed, assigned, and unassigned amounts when expenditures have been incurred for which resources in more than one classification could be used.

Sometimes the Authority will fund outlays for a particular purpose from both restricted and unrestricted resources. In order to calculate the amounts to report as restricted net position and unrestricted net position in the government-wide financial statements, a flow assumption must be made about the order in which the resources are considered to be applied. It is the Authority's policy to consider restricted net position to have been depleted before unrestricted net position is applied.

*Investments:* State statutes and legal opinions authorize the Authority to invest in certain types of investments including, but not limited to, certificates of deposit, U.S. Treasury and federal agency securities, and obligations of the state of Missouri. The Authority reports investments at fair value in the financial statements, with changes in fair value reported as an item of revenue or expense in the statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances. Fair value is the amount at which an investment could be exchanged in a current transaction between willing parties, other than in a forced or a liquidation sale.

*Advances and loans receivable:* Advances and loans receivable consist of advances and loans made to participants under the Brownfields Revolving Loan Fund Program. Management assesses the allowance for estimated uncollectible accounts on a loan-by-loan basis. All advances and loans are deemed fully collectible as of June 30, 2021.

*Pensions:* For purposes of measuring the net pension liability, deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions, and pension expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the Missouri State Employees' Retirement System (MOSERS) and additions to/deductions from MOSERS' fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by MOSERS. For this

purpose, benefit payments (including refunds of employee contributions) are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value.

*Other post-employment benefits (OPEB):* For purposes of measuring the net OPEB liability, deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to OPEB, and OPEB expense, information about the fiduciary net position and additions to/deductions from fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported. For this purpose, employer contributions are recognized as revenue when due and payable. Benefits are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the terms of the plan.

*Deferred outflows/inflows of resources:* In addition to assets, the statement of financial position will sometimes report a separate section for deferred outflows of resources. This separate financial statement element, deferred outflows of resources, represents a consumption of net position that applies to future periods and so will not be recognized as an outflow of resources until then. Items that qualify for reporting in this category include pension contributions and other related activity in connection with the pension and OPEB plans.

In addition to liabilities, the statement of financial position will sometimes report a separate section for deferred inflows of resources. This separate financial statement element, deferred inflows of resources, represents an acquisition of net position that applies to future periods and so will not be recognized as an inflow of resources until that time. Items that qualify for reporting in this category include activity in connection with the pension and OPEB plans.

*Equity*: In the governmental funds' financial statements, fund balance is displayed in five components as follows:

Nonspendable – This consists of amounts that are not in a spendable form or are legally or contractually required to be maintained intact.

Restricted – This consists of amounts that are constrained to specific purposes by their providers, through constitutional provisions, or by enabling legislation.

Committed – This consists of amounts that can be used only for the specific purposes determined by a formal action of the government's highest level of decision-making authority (the Board of Directors). The Board of Directors can, by adoption of a resolution prior to the end of the fiscal year, commit fund balance. Once adopted, the limitation imposed by the resolution remains in place until a similar action is taken to remove or revise the limitation.

Assigned – This consists of amounts that are intended to be used by the government for specific purposes but do not meet the criteria to be classified as restricted or committed. The Board of Directors can assign fund balance; however, an additional formal action does not have to be taken for the removal of the assignment.

Unassigned – This consists of amounts that are available for any purpose and can only be reported in the General Fund.

The Authority did not have any assigned fund balance as of June 30, 2021.

In the government-wide financial statements, net position is displayed in three components as follows:

Net investment in capital assets – This consists of capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation, less the outstanding balances of any bonds, notes, or other borrowings that are attributable to the acquisition, construction, or improvements of those assets.

Restricted – This consists of amounts that are legally restricted by outside parties or by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.

Unrestricted – This consists of net amounts that do not meet the definition of "net investment in capital assets" or "restricted."

*Conduit debt obligations*: Notes and bonded indebtedness issued by the Authority to pay for the costs of projects which provide for the conservation of air, land and water resources, and reduce the pollution thereof, and for proper methods of disposing of solid waste materials are not liabilities of the Authority or the State but are the liability of the organization to which title of the project passes. Accordingly, such conduit debt obligations are not reported as liabilities in the accompanying statement of net position. As of June 30, 2021, the aggregate principal amount of such obligations payable totaled approximately \$728 million.

*Estimates:* The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain reported amounts and disclosures. Accordingly, actual results could differ from those estimates.

## 2. DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS

### **Deposits**

Custodial credit risk for deposits is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the Authority's deposits may not be returned to it. The custodial credit risk for investments is the risk that, in the event of the failure of the counterparty to a transaction, the Authority will not be able to recover the value of investments or collateral securities that are in possession of the outside party. As of June 30, 2021, no investments were uninsured and unregistered, and all securities were held by the counterparty or by its trust department or agent in the Authority's name.

The Authority's deposits consist of cash and investments on deposit with various financial institutions. As of June 30, 2021, the carrying amount of the Authority's deposits was \$2,721,822.

As of June 30, 2021, the Authority's bank balance was exposed to custodial credit risk as follows:

Bank balance

Amount insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC)	\$ 1,000,000
Amount collateralized with securities held by financial institutions	
pledged in the Authority's name	 1,730,826
Total bank balance	\$ 2,730,826

As required by State law, the depository bank is to pledge securities in addition to FDIC coverage to equal the amount on deposit at all times. As of June 30, 2021, all deposits were fully collateralized.

### Investment Policy

State statutes and legal opinions authorize the Authority to invest in certain types of investments including, but not limited to, certificates of deposit, U.S. Treasury and federal agency securities, and obligations of Missouri.

Investments were as follows as of June 30, 2021:

Certificates of deposit	\$   1,950,000
U.S. government and agency securities	59
	\$ 1,950,059

### Concentration of Credit Risk

Concentration of credit risk is the risk of loss that may be attributed to the magnitude of a government's investment in a single issue. As of June 30, 2021, the Authority held no single issue exceeding 5% of the portfolio.

### Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk that an issuer or other counterparty to an investment will not fulfill its obligations to the Authority. As of June 30, 2021, all U.S. government and agency securities were guaranteed by the federal government.

### Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Duration is a measure of a debt instrument's exposure to a change in interest rates and the related sensitivity of market price to parallel shifts in the yield curve. It uses the present value of cash flows as a percentage of the instruments' full price. The Authority's interest rate risk is mitigated through the duration of investments outlined in its investment policy.

### Foreign Currency Risk

In accordance with its investment policy, the Authority did not hold any foreign investments or currency as of June 30, 2021.

## 3. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

For assets and liabilities required to be reported at fair value, U.S. generally accepted accounting principles prescribes a framework for measuring fair value and financial statement disclosures about fair value measurements. A fair value hierarchy has been established that distinguishes between market participant assumptions based on market data obtained from sources independent of the reporting entity (observable inputs that are classified within Levels 1 and 2 of the hierarchy) and the reporting entity's own assumptions about market participant assumptions (unobservable inputs classified within Level 3 of the hierarchy).

The fair value hierarchy as prescribed by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles is as follows:

- Level 1 Valuation is based upon quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Authority has the ability to access.
- Level 2 Valuation is based upon quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, as well as inputs that are observable for the asset or liability (other than quoted prices), such as interest rates, foreign exchange rates, and yield curves that are observable at commonly quoted intervals.
- Level 3 Valuation is generated from model-based techniques that use at least one significant assumption based on unobservable inputs for the asset or liability, which are typically based on an entity's own assumptions, as there is little, if any, related market activity.

In instances where the determination of the fair value measurement is based on inputs from different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the entire fair value measurement falls is based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The Authority's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment and considers factors specific to the asset or liability.

The Authority's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2021, aggregated by the level in the fair value hierarchy within which those measurements fall, are as follows:

Description		Total	 Level 1	 Level 2	 Level 3
Certificates of deposit	\$ 1	1,950,000	\$ -	\$ 1,950,000	\$ -
U.S. government and agency securities		59	 -	 59	 -
	\$ 1	1,950,059	\$ 	\$ 1,950,059	\$ -

## 4. BROWNFIELDS ADVANCES AND LOANS RECEIVABLE

As of June 30, 2021, advances of \$316,171 on one project in progress had been converted into a loan receivable. Monthly principal and interest payments were required to be paid for this project beginning on November 1, 2015. For the year ended June 30, 2021, \$39,941 was paid on the principal balance of this project.

## 5. CAPITAL ASSETS

A summary of changes in capital assets for the year ended June 30, 2021 is as follows:

	Beginning balance	A	dditions	Disp	osals	 Ending balance
Office furniture and equipment Leasehold improvements	\$ 119,939 4,271	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 119,939 4,271
Less: accumulated depreciation	124,210 (123,011)		- (1,019)		-	124,210 (124,030)
Capital assets, net	\$ 1,199	\$	(1,019)	\$	-	\$ 180

## 6. PROGRAMS

The Authority conducts a variety of programs, which include the following:

### State Revolving Fund Program

The Missouri State Revolving Fund (SRF) Program was initiated cooperatively by the Authority and the Missouri Department of Natural Resources (DNR) in November 1987. The SRF Program was developed pursuant to Title VI of the Clean Water Act and was formally approved in 1990 by the Missouri Clean Water Commission and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Amendments to the federal Safe Drinking Water Act in 1996 authorized a drinking water revolving loan program. Missouri developed its program and corresponding regulations around the Clean Water program. The new program was approved by the Missouri Safe Drinking Water Commission and the EPA.

The SRF Program is primarily a low-interest loan program; however, federal appropriations have also provided for subgrants beginning in 2009 with the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act. The program provides funding to communities for water and wastewater infrastructure at subsidized interest rates. Currently, interest rates are approximately 30% of tax-exempt municipal rates. Loans are amortized over a maximum of 20 years. In certain situations, loans are amortized over a maximum of 30 years (with incremental interest rates). The monies in the fund can be reloaned or revolve in perpetuity for the benefit of other communities.

The SRF Program is funded through a combination of federal capitalization grants (83.33%) and State matching funds (16.67%). Historically, the State match for the Clean Water program was funded through the sale of general obligation Water Pollution Control Bonds, while the match for the Drinking Water program came from appropriated general revenue. Currently, the State match for both programs is provided primarily through the sale of matching bonds issued by the Authority.

### Missouri Market Development Program

Pursuant to Senate Bill 530, Section 260.335, in March 1992, the Authority entered into an interagency agreement with the DNR and the Missouri Department of Economic Development to promote markets for recycled materials and to provide financial assistance for businesses which use recycled materials in making new products. The statute provides \$800,000 from solid waste tipping fees for the program annually; however, appropriations can vary from year to year. Solid waste tipping fees are a per ton fee levied on solid waste disposed at landfills and transported out of state for disposal through transfer stations.

The Authority's Market Development Program Fund is reimbursed by DNR for Authority program expenses. The Market Development Program Fund reimburses the Authority for staff time and overhead expenses incurred on behalf of the program. Such amounts totaled \$45,000 for fiscal year 2021 and are included in the statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances – governmental funds in the Market Development Program Fund as both market development intergovernmental revenue and operating expenditures and in the General Fund as general operations revenues.

### Missouri Brownfields Revolving Loan Fund

The Brownfields Revolving Loan Program is an EPA initiative under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. Section 9601) as amended by the Small Business Relief and Brownfields Revitalization Act. Under the program, funds are made available through grant awards and cooperative agreements to states, political subdivisions, and tribes. These grant funds are to provide for the establishment, administration/management and funding of a revolving loan and sub-grant program to clean up contaminated properties known as brownfields. The EPA defines brownfields as real

property for which the expansion, redevelopment, or re-use may be complicated by the presence or potential presence of a hazardous substance, pollutant, or contaminant.

The State's program is a cooperative effort between the EPA, the Authority, and the DNR. An initial grant of \$1,000,000 was awarded by the EPA in late 2005, with supplemental awards in 2011, 2013, 2014, and 2016 providing an additional \$2,500,000 in federal funds. The Authority is providing the required matching funds for these awards. A second grant of \$1,160,000 was awarded through the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act in 2010.

The 2005 program is funded through a combination of federal grants (83.33%) and Authority matching funds (16.67%), whereas the 2010 program is fully federally funded. The program enables participants to borrow cleanup funds for relatively short periods of time at low interest rates. In limited, exceptional circumstances, sub-grant funds may be available to eligible entities. Loan repayments will be made available to loan to additional applicants.

All federal grant funding expired in July 2020.

## 7. FIDUCIARY TYPE CUSTODIAL FUNDS

### Weatherization Program

On July 16, 2002, AmerenUE entered into a Stipulation and Agreement to resolve the issues pending in Case Number EC-2002-1 before the Missouri Public Service Commission. As part of such agreement, AmerenUE agreed to create a Weatherization Fund for its low-income electric utility customers. The Weatherization Fund was to be initially funded with \$2,000,000 on September 1, 2002, and additional contributions of \$500,000 made each year for the following four years. A collaborative committee was established to develop plans by which the fund would be utilized.

The collaborative committee, consisting of staff of the Public Service Commission, Office of Public Counsel, AmerenUE, and the DNR/Division of Energy (DE), determined the funds would be deposited into an account established by the Authority (which would act as paying agent) and disbursed to weatherization agencies within the AmerenUE service area. Subsequently, the Authority, the DNR, the Public Service Commission and AmerenUE entered into a Cooperation and Funding Agreement outlining the responsibilities of the DE, the Authority, and AmerenUE relating to program administration.

On October 30, 2017, an agreement with The Empire District Electric Company (Empire Electric) and The Empire District Gas Company (Empire Gas) was established. Empire Electric will provide \$250,000 for the benefit of its electric customers. Empire Gas will provide \$71,500 for the benefit of its natural gas spaceheating customers.

Annually, on or before October first, Empire shall remit a management payment of five (5) percent of its weatherization programs' total annual reported expenditures, not to exceed twelve-thousand five hundred dollars (\$12,500), to the Authority for the DE's administration and monitoring of the Weatherization Programs. The Authority may charge the DE \$1,150 for paying agent services and fees relating to the Empire Electric fund and \$525 for Empire Gas fund. The Authority's fee will be assessed against the \$12,500 and the balance transmitted to the DE.

The Authority was required to deposit all payments of the fund into an interest bearing and collateralized account and to disburse funds to the appropriate weatherization agency upon the receipt of a complete and signed disbursement request from the DE. The funds are to be distributed to weatherization agencies in each

utility's service territory according to a formula established by the collaborative committee and are to be spent in a manner consistent with the Federal Weatherization Assistance Program as administered by DE.

All weatherization funds are administered in the same manner as described above. Weatherization monies are held in one bank account with each entity's monies accounted for separately. Interest earned is divided on a pro rata basis between each fund based upon its balance at the end of the month. Expenses are allocated between the funds on a pro rata basis according to each utility's annual contribution. Those expenses allocated to Ameren Gas, AmerenUE, Liberty Utilities, and Spire Inc. are paid from that utility's fund. Because of the Authority paying agent fee charged to DE for services and expenses relating to the Empire funds, expenses allocated to Empire will be paid by the Authority.

Funds held by the Authority under the terms of the agreement totaled \$339,916 as of June 30, 2021.

### Natural Resource Damage Assessment and Restoration Program

The mission of the DNR's Natural Resource Damage Assessment and Restoration (NRD) Program is to restore natural resources damaged as a result of oil spills or hazardous substance releases into the environment. In partnership with affected federal trustee agencies, the NRD Program conducts damage assessments which are the first step toward resource restoration and are used to provide the basis for determining restoration needs that address the public's loss and use of natural resources.

Once the damages are assessed, the NRD Program negotiates legal settlements or takes other legal actions against the responsible parties for the spill or release. Funds collected from these settlements are then used to restore or replace the damaged resources at no expense to the taxpayer.

DNR and certain federal agencies act as Joint Trustees of funds collected from polluters. The funds may be used to purchase property, restore and maintain habitat and protect the resource into the future with a conservation easement; or acquire other land which may be restored, maintained and protected to replace what was lost or damaged. The Joint Trustees solicit participants who will acquire, restore, maintain and protect the land parcels and the natural resources involved with NRD funds. The Joint Trustees determine project priorities and direct the release of funds. The Authority assists the State Trustee by providing paying agent services.

Under a general NRD Memorandum of Understanding and specific Project Work Plans between the State Trustee and the Authority, certain NRD project funds are being held by the Authority which acts as a paying agent. Under the Project Work Plans, the Authority is required to deposit all NRD project funds into a collateralized account and to disburse amounts upon the receipt of a signed Authorization to Pay from the State Trustee. All project funds held by the Authority are accounted for separately by Project Work Plan or Resolution and interest earned is tracked on a pro rata basis between each based upon its balance at the end of the month.

Funds held by the Authority under the terms of the agreement totaled \$2,041,111 as of June 30, 2021.

## 8. COMMITMENTS

The Missouri Market Development Program Financial Assistance Awards are Board-approved and may be drawn upon throughout the agreement term. As of June 30, 2021, \$1,130,484 had been approved but not yet distributed.

The Authority has entered into a lease agreement for its office space through October 31, 2026. Payments are due monthly and require the following commitment for the year ending June 30:

2022	\$ 37,016
2023	38,132
2024	39,276
2025	40,452
2026	41,664
Thereafter	 14,024
Total	\$ 210,564

### 9. RISK MANAGEMENT

The Authority is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; thefts of, damage to, or destruction of assets; errors and omissions; and workers' compensation claims. The Authority carries commercial insurance for property and theft of assets and workers' compensation. The Authority is self-insured for all other risks of loss.

The Authority had no material unpaid claims, liabilities, or settlements related to any loss in any of the past three years. There were no substantive changes made in the types and amounts of the Authority's insurance coverage during fiscal year 2021.

### **10. DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN**

*Plan description:* Benefit eligible employees of the Authority are provided with pensions through the Missouri State Employees' Plan (MSEP) – a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan administered by MOSERS. The plan is referred to as MOSERS in the notes. Chapter 104.320 of the Revised Statutes of Missouri grants the authority to establish a defined benefit plan for eligible state and other related agency employees. MOSERS issues an Annual Comprehensive Financial Report (ACFR), a publicly available financial report that can be obtained at <a href="https://www.mosers.org">www.mosers.org</a>.

*Benefits provided:* MOSERS provides retirement, disability, and life insurance benefits to eligible employees. The base retirement benefits are calculated by multiplying the employee's final average pay by a specific factor multiplied by the years of creditable service. The factor is based on the specific plan in which the employee participates, which is based on the employee's hire date. Information on the three plans administered by MOSERS (MSEP, MSEP 2000, and MSEP 2011 retirement plans) and how eligibility and the benefit amount is determined for each plan may be found in the Notes to the Financial Statements of MOSERS' ACFR.

*Contributions:* Per Chapter 104.436 of the Revised Statutes of Missouri, contribution requirements of the active employees and the participating employers are established and may be amended by the MOSERS Board. Employees in the MSEP 2011 Plan are required to contribute 4.0 percent of their annual pay. The Authority's required contribution rate for the year ended June 30, 2021 was 21.77 percent of annual payroll, actuarially determined as an amount that, when combined with employee contributions, is expected to finance the costs of benefits earned by employees during the year, with an additional amount to finance any unfunded accrued liability.

*Net pension liability:* At June 30, 2021, the Authority reported a liability of \$722,862 for its proportionate share of the net pension liability. The net pension liability was measured as of June 30, 2020, and the total pension liability used to calculate the net pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of that date. The total pension liability was offset by the fiduciary net position obtained from MOSERS ACFR as of June 30, 2020, to determine the net pension liability.

The Authority's proportion of the net pension liability was based on the Authority's actual share of contributions to the pension plan relative to the actual contributions of all participating employers for MOSERS plan year ended June 30, 2020. At the June 30, 2020 measurement date, the Authority's proportion was 0.01139 percent, an increase from its proportion of 0.0075 percent as of the June 30, 2019, measurement date.

There were no changes to the benefit terms during the MOSERS plan year ended June 30, 2020, that affected the measurement of total pension liability.

*Actuarial assumptions:* The total pension liability in the June 30, 2020 actuarial valuation, which is also the measurement date, was determined using the following actuarial assumptions, applied to all periods included in the measurement:

Inflation	2.25%
Salary increases	2.75% to 8.25%, including inflation
Wage inflation	2.25%
Investment rate of return	6.95%, compounded annually, net after investment expenses
	and including inflation

The actuarial assumptions used in the June 30, 2020 valuation were based on the results of an actuarial experience study for the period July 1, 2010 to June 30, 2015. In addition, the actuarial assumptions used in the June 30, 2020 valuation changed from the June 30, 2019 valuation as follows: inflation decreased from 2.35% to 2.25%; salary increases changed from 2.18%-8.35% to 2.75-8.25%; wage inflation decreased from 2.35% to 2.25%; and the investment rate of return decreased from 7.10% to 6.95%.

*Mortality:* Mortality rates for the post-retirement mortality were based on the RP-2014 Healthy Annuitant mortality table, projected to 2026 with Scale MP-2015 and scaled by 120%. The pre-retirement mortality table used is the RP-2014 Employee mortality table, projected to 2026 with Scale MP-2015 and scaled by 95% for males and 90% for females.

*Long-term investment rate of return:* The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a building-block method in which best-estimate rates of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. These ranges are combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage and by adding expected inflation. Best estimates of geometric real rates of return for each major asset class included in the MOSERS target asset allocation based on risk as of June 30, 2020 are summarized in the table on the following page.

			Weighted
		Long-term	Average Long-
		Expected Real	Term Expected
	Policy	Rate of	Real Rate of
Asset Class	Allocation	Return*	Return
Opportunistic global equity	38.0%	8.3%	3.1%
Nominal bonds	44.0%	3.3%	1.5%
Commodities	20.0%	7.8%	1.6%
Inflation-linked bonds	39.0%	2.4%	0.9%
Alternative beta	31.0%	6.6%	2.0%
Cash and cash equivalents	-72.0%	1.0%	-0.7%
	100.0%	_	8.4%

\* Represent best estimates of geometric rates of return for each major asset class included.

*Discount rate:* The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was 6.95 percent. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that employee contributions will be made at the current contribution rate and that contributions from employers will be made at required rates, actuarially determined. Based on those assumptions, the pension plan's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current active and inactive employees. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability.

Sensitivity of the Authority's proportionate share of the net pension liability to changes in the discount rate: The following table presents the Authority's proportionate share of the net pension liability calculated using the discount rate of 6.95 percent, as well as what the Authority's proportionate share of the net pension liability would be if calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage-point lower (5.95 percent) or 1percentage-point higher (7.95 percent) than the current rate.

	Current					
		Decrease 5.95%)		count Rate 6.95%)		5 Increase (7.95%)
Authority's proportionate share of the net pension						
liability	\$	905,286	\$	722,862	\$	569,663

*Pension plan fiduciary net position:* Detailed information about the pension plan's fiduciary net position is available in the separately issued MOSERS ACFR.

Pension expense: For the year ended June 30, 2021, the Authority recognized pension expense of \$31,629.

*Deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources:* As of June 30, 2021, the Authority reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

	Out	eferred flows of sources	Iı	Deferred nflows of Lesources
Differences between expected and actual				
experience	\$	327	\$	(7,508)
Changes in assumptions		19,106		-
Net difference between projected and actual				
earnings on pension plan investments		38,377		-
Changes in proportion and differences between: Authority contributions and proportionate share				
of contributions		141,800		(163,600)
Authority contributions subsequent to the		,		
measurement date of June 30, 2020	56,822		56,822	
	\$	256,432	\$	(171,108)

The \$56,822 reported as deferred outflows of resources related to pensions resulting from Authority contributions subsequent to the measurement date of June 30, 2020 will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the Authority's financial statements during the year ending June 30, 2022.

Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized in pension expense in the Authority's fiscal year following MOSERS' fiscal year as follows:

Plan year ending June 30:

2021 2022 2023	\$ (38,562) 48,692 15,015
2024	3,357
2025	 -
	\$ 28,502

Payables to the pension plan: The Authority did not report any payables to MOSERS as of June 30, 2021.

### 11. OTHER POST-EMPLOYMENT (OPEB) PLAN

*Plan description:* MOSERS participates as an employer in a cost-sharing, multiple-employer, defined benefit, other post-employment benefits plan, the State Retiree Welfare Benefit Trust (SRWBT), operated by Missouri Consolidated Health Care Plan (MCHCP). Employees may participate at retirement if eligible to receive a monthly retirement benefit from MOSERS. The terms and conditions governing post-employment benefits are vested with the MCHCP Board of Trustees within the authority granted under Chapter 103 of the Revised Statutes of Missouri (2000) as amended (RSMo) 103.003 through 103.178. The SRWBT does not issue a separate stand-alone financial report. Financial activity of the SRWBT is included in the *MCHCP Annual Comprehensive Financial Report* as a fiduciary fund and is intended to present only the financial position of the activities attributable to the SRWBT. Additionally, MCHCP is considered a component unit of the State reporting entity and is included in the State's ACFR.

The plan's financial statements are available on MCHCP's website at www.mchcp.org.

*Benefits:* The SRWBT was established and organized on June 27, 2008, to provide health and welfare benefits for the exclusive benefit of current and future retired employees of the State and their dependents who meet eligibility requirements, except for those retired members covered by other OPEB plans of the State. MCHCP's three medical plans offer the same basic coverage such as preventative care, freedom to choose care from a nationwide network of primary care providers, specialists, pharmacies and hospitals, usually at a lower negotiated group discount and the same covered benefits for both medical and pharmacy. Benefits are the same in all three plans; other aspects differ such as premium, deductible and out of pocket costs. Retiree benefits are the same as for active employees.

*Contributions:* Contributions are established and may be amended by the MCHCP Board of Trustees. For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2020, employers were required to contribute 4.40% for the period July 1, 2019 through December 31, 2019; 4.65% for the period January 15, 2020 through April 1, 2020; 4.02% for the period April 15, 2020 through June 1, 2020; and 3.41% for the period June 1, 2020 through June 30, 2020, of gross active employee payroll. Employees do not contribute to this plan. No payables to MCHCP were outstanding at year end.

*OPEB liabilities, OPEB expense, and deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources:* At June 30, 2021, the Authority reported a liability of \$231,553 for its proportionate share of the net OPEB liability was measured as of June 30, 2020, and the total OPEB liability used to calculate the net OPEB liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of that date. The Authority's proportion of the net OPEB liability was based on a projection of its long-term share of contributions to the OPEB plan relative to the projected contributions of all participating entities, actuarially determined. At June 30, 2020, the Authority's proportion was 0.0129%.

For the year ended June 30, 2021, the Authority recognized OPEB expense of (\$1,880).

As of June 30, 2021, the Authority reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to OPEB from the following sources:

	Out	eferred flows of sources	Deferred Inflows of Resources		
Difference between actual and expected experience	\$	10,165	\$	(3,949)	
Assumption changes		-		(19,121)	
Net difference between projected and actual earnings on plan					
investments		745		-	
Changes in proportion and differences between employer					
contributions and proportionate share of contributions		-		(123,886)	
Authority contributions subsequent to the measurement date					
of June 30, 2020		10,213		-	
	\$	21,123	\$	(146,956)	

The \$10,213 reported as deferred outflows of resources related to OPEB resulting from Authority contributions subsequent to the measurement date of June 30, 2020 will be recognized as a reduction of the net OPEB liability in the Authority's financial statements during the year ending June 30, 2022.

Other amounts reported as deferred inflows of resources related to OPEB will be recognized in OPEB expense as follows:

Plan year ending June 30:

2022	\$ 19,351
2023	19,344
2024	19,475
2025	19,501
2026	19,604
Thereafter	 38,771
	\$ 136,046

*Actuarial assumptions:* The collective total OPEB liability for the June 30, 2020 measurement date was determined by an actuarial valuation as of January 1, 2020, with updated procedures used to roll forward the total OPEB liability to June 30, 2020. This actuarial valuation used the following actuarial assumptions:

Valuation year	July 1, 2019 - June 30, 2020
Actuarial cost method	Entry age normal, level percentage of payroll
Asset valuation method	Market value
Discount rate (blended)	4.38%
Projected payroll growth	4.00%
Inflation rate	3.00%
Health care cost trend rate (medical & prescription drugs combined	):
Non-Medicare	5.75% for fiscal year 2020 (rate decreases by 0.25% per year to an ultimate rate of 5.00% in fiscal year 2023 and later)
Medicare	10.00% for fiscal year 2020 (22.00% in fiscal year 2021, 10.00% in fiscal years 2022 and 2023, 9.50% in fiscal year 2024, 9.00% in fiscal year 2025, 8.50% in fiscal year 2026, 8.00% in 2027, then decreasing by 1% per year to an ultimate rate of 5.0% in fiscal year 2030 and later)

Mortality: RP-2016 for Employees/Annuitants without collar adjustments using Scale MIP-2016.

The last experience study was conducted in 2020. Termination rates and retirement rates are updated based on an experience study conducted in 2020. Participant and dependent coverage assumptions were updated based upon an experience study conducted in July 2020. Per capita claims costs, administrative expenses and retirees contributions were updated based on analysis of 2021 rates.

A discount rate of 4.38% was used to measure the total OPEB liabilities. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that contributions from plan members will be made at the current contribution rates and the contributions will be made at statutorily required rates, actuarially determined. This discount rate was determined as a blend of the best estimate of the expected return on plan assets and the 20-year high quality municipal bond rate as of the measurement date. For years where expected benefit payments can be covered by projected trust assets, expected returns are used. For years where payments are not expected to be covered by trust assets, the municipal Bond Buyer 20-Bond General Obligation Index rate is utilized.

Sensitivity of the Authority's proportionate share of the net OPEB Liability to changes in the discount rate: The following table presents the Authority's net OPEB liability, calculated using a discount rate of 4.38%, as well as what the net OPEB liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is one percent lower or one percent higher.

	1% Decrease in					Increase in	
	Dis	Discount Rate Current Discour			Dis	scount Rate	
		(3.38%)	Rate	(4.38%)	(5.34%)		
Authority's proportionate share of the net OPEB liability	\$	275,399	\$	231,553	\$	194,024	

Sensitivity of the Authority's proportionate share of the net OPEB liability to changes in the health care cost trend rates: The following table presents the Authority's net OPEB liability, calculated using the current trend rate, as well as what the net OPEB liability would be if it were calculated using a trend rate that is one percent lower or one percent higher:

	1% D	ecrease in	Cur	rent Trend	1%	Increase in
	Trer	nd Rates		Rates	Trend Rates	
Authority's proportionate share of the net OPEB liability	\$	193,371	\$	231,553	\$	276,266

*Long-term expected rate of return:* The target allocation and expected real rate of return for each major asset class are listed below:

	Target	Expected Real
	Allocation	Rate of Return
Large cap stocks	17.0%	8.5%
Mid cap stocks	6.0%	8.8%
Small cap stocks	7.0%	8.8%
International stocks	4.0%	8.9%
BarCap aggregate bonds	64.0%	2.4%
Cash equivalents	2.0%	2.1%
	100.0%	

# GENERAL FUND SCHEDULE OF REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES BUDGET TO ACTUAL For the Year Ended June 30, 2021

	Budget	Actual	I	/ariance Positive/ Vegative)
	 Duager	 Tetual		(egative)
REVENUES				
General operations	\$ 242,500	\$ 425,068	\$	182,568
Investment income	30,000	21,418		(8,582)
Miscellaneous income	 4,500	 1,675		(2,825)
Total revenues	 277,000	 448,161		171,161
EXPENDITURES				
Personnel services:				
Per diem	750	500		250
Office salaries	300,000	255,989		44,011
Payroll taxes and fringe benefits	120,000	134,538		(14,538)
Travel - staff	7,500	57		7,443
Travel - Board	 1,500	 -		1,500
Total personnel services	 429,750	 391,084		38,666
Operating expenditures:				
SRF legal fees	5,000	128		4,872
Legal fees - general	15,000	13,888		1,112
Legal fees - projects	2,500	-		2,500
Accounting fees	12,000	3,738		8,262
Audit fees	19,000	19,500		(500)
Miscellaneous professional fees	40,000	94,983		(54,983)
Equipment maintenance	500	-		500
Telephone	7,500	7,199		301
Office supplies and printing	2,000	850		1,150
Postage	1,000	590		410

# GENERAL FUND SCHEDULE OF REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES BUDGET TO ACTUAL For the Year Ended June 30, 2021 (Continued)

			Variance Positive/
	Budget	Actual	(Negative)
Operating expenditures (continued):			
Membership dues	4,000	3,369	631
Conference registration fees	2,000	(23)	2,023
Training	1,500	475	1,025
Board meetings	500	680	(180)
Miscellaneous and administrative	300	-	300
Workers' compensation contingency	4,500	-	4,500
Advertising/legal notices	1,500	1,150	350
Office maintenance	200	-	200
Rent	36,000	35,940	60
Insurance	700	719	(19)
Equipment expense	1,000	-	1,000
Computer equipment	7,500	2,185	5,315
Total operating expenditures	164,200	185,371	(21,171)
Total expenditures	593,950	576,455	17,495
(Deficiency) of revenues (under) expenditures	(316,950)	(128,294)	188,656
OTHER FINANCING (USES)			
Transfers (out)	(157,755)	-	157,755
Total other financing (uses)	(157,755)	-	157,755
Net change in fund balance	\$ (474,705)	\$ (128,294)	\$ 346,411

## MARKET DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM SCHEDULE OF REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES BUDGET TO ACTUAL For the Year Ended June 30, 2021

	Budget	Actual	Variance Positive/ (Negative)
REVENUES	\$ 2,407,669	\$ 998,650	\$ (1,409,019)
Market development intergovernmental revenue Investment income	\$ 2,407,009 	\$    998,030 94	<u>\$ (1,409,019)</u> 94
Total revenues	2,407,669	998,744	(1,408,925)
EXPENDITURES Personnel services: Administrative:			
Payroll and related expenses Travel	80,000 1,000	39,770	40,230
Total personnel services	81,000	39,770	41,230
Contractual services: Business assistance:			
Encumbered direct financial assistance	1,263,331	574,368	688,963
Current year direct financial assistance	854,338	282,070	572,268
Business initiatives	100,000	-	100,000
Business initiatives - encumbered	19,000	18,750	250
Total contractual services	2,236,669	875,188	1,361,481
Operating expenditures: Administrative:			
Training	1,000	-	1,000
Legal fees	2,000	320	1,680
Conference/registration	1,500	250	1,250
Accounting fees	2,500	2,585	(85)
Membership fees	1,500	1,323	177
Direct costs	5,000	3,067	1,933

# MARKET DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM SCHEDULE OF REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES BUDGET TO ACTUAL For the Year Ended June 30, 2021 (Continued)

			Variance Positive/
	Budget	Actual	(Negative)
Operating expenditures (continued):			
Sponsorships	10,000	-	10,000
Authority costs	45,000	45,000	-
Business assistance:			
Legal fees	20,000	30,365	(10,365)
Travel expense	1,500	782	718
Total operating expenditures	90,000	83,692	6,308
Total expenditures	2,407,669	998,650	1,409,019
Excess of revenues over expenditures		94	94
Net change in fund balance	\$ -	\$ 94	\$ 94

# MISSOURI BROWNFIELDS REVOLVING LOAN FUND SCHEDULE OF REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES BUDGET TO ACTUAL For the Year Ended June 30, 2021

	Budget	Actual	Variance Positive/ (Negative)
REVENUES Brownfields grants Loan repayments	\$ 787,012 45,000	\$ - 	\$ (787,012) 1,967
Total revenues	832,012	43,033	(785,045)
EXPENDITURES Personnel services: Office salaries	208,586	-	208,586
Travel	16,416		16,416
Total personnel services	225,002		225,002
Contractual services: Loans and subgrants Contracts	657,000 106,665	26,514	630,486
Total contractual services	763,665	30,635	733,030
Operating expenditures: Administrative: Supplies	1,100		1,100
Total operating expenditures	1,100	-	1,100
Total expenditures	989,767	30,635	959,132
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures	(157,755)	12,398	170,153
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES Transfers in	157,755		(157,755)
Total other financing sources	157,755		(157,755)
Net change in fund balance	\$ -	\$ 12,398	\$ 12,398

### **REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION – PENSION PLAN**

## SCHEDULE OF THE AUTHORITY'S PROPORTIONATE SHARE OF THE NET PENSION LIABILITY

	June	e 30, 2021*	June	e 30, 2020*	Jun	ne 30, 2019*	Jur	ne 30, 2018*
Authority's proportion of the net pension liability		0.0114%		0.0075%		0.0160%		0.0182%
Authority's proportionate share of the net pension liability	\$	722,862	\$	453,025	\$	892,985	\$	947,247
Authority's covered payroll	\$	227,554	\$	227,554	\$	311,151	\$	358,060
Authority's proportionate share of the net pension liability as								
percentage of its covered payroll		317.67%		199.08%		286.99%		264.55%
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension								
liability		55.48%		56.72%		59.02%		60.41%
	June	e 30, 2017*	June	e 30, 2016*	Jun	ne 30, 2015*		
Authority's proportion of the net pension liability		0.0183%		0.0200%		0.0160%		
Authority's proportionate share of the net pension liability	\$	851,010	\$	535,756	\$	376,439		
Authority's covered payroll	\$	355,050	\$	322,981	\$	318,450		
Authority's proportionate share of the net pension liability as								
percentage of its covered payroll		239.69%		165.88%		118.21%		
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension								
liability		63.60%		72.62%		79.49%		
-								

\*Based on a measurement date and actuarial valuation as of the end of the preceding fiscal year.

Note: This schedule will ultimately contain 10 years of data.

## SCHEDULE OF AUTHORITY CONTRIBUTIONS

	June 30, 2021*		, 2021* June 30, 2020*		)* June 30, 2019*		Ju	ne 30, 2018*
Required contribution	\$	49,538	\$	51,283	\$	60,519	\$	60,763
Contributions in relation to the required contribution	\$	49,538	\$	51,283	\$	60,519	\$	60,763
Authority's covered payroll	\$	227,554	\$	227,554	\$	311,151	\$	358,060
Contributions as a percentage of covered payroll		21.77%		22.54%		19.45%		16.97%
	June 3	0, 2017*	Jur	e 30, 2016*	Ju	ne 30, 2015*		
Required contribution	\$	60,252	\$	54,810	\$	52,107		
Contributions in relation to the required contribution	\$	60,252	\$	54,810	\$	52,107		
Contribution deficiency (excess)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-		
Authority's covered payroll	\$	355,050	\$	322,981	\$	318,450		
Contributions as a percentage of covered payroll		16.97%		16.97%		16.36%		

\*Based on a measurement date and actuarial valuation as of the end of the preceding fiscal year.

Note: This schedule will ultimately contain 10 years of data.

### **REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION – OPEB PLAN**

### SCHEDULE OF THE AUTHORITY'S PROPORTIONATE SHARE OF THE NET OPEB LIABILITY

	June	30, 2021*	June	e 30, 2020*
Authority's proportion of the net OPEB liability		0.0129%		0.0136%
Authority's proportionate share of the net OPEB liability	\$	231,553	\$	231,553
Authority's covered payroll	\$	206,538	\$	217,745
Authority's proportionate share of the net OPEB liability as				
percentage of its covered payroll		112.11%		106.34%
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total OPEB				
liability		8.24%		8.24%
	June	30, 2019*	June	e 30, 2018*
Authority's proportion of the net OPEB liability		0.0190%		0.0221%
Authority's proportion of the net OPEB liability Authority's proportionate share of the net OPEB liability	June \$	,		
		0.0190%		0.0221%
Authority's proportionate share of the net OPEB liability	\$	0.0190% 332,926	\$	0.0221% 389,983
Authority's proportionate share of the net OPEB liability Authority's covered payroll Authority's proportionate share of the net OPEB liability as percentage of its covered payroll	\$	0.0190% 332,926	\$	0.0221% 389,983
Authority's proportionate share of the net OPEB liability Authority's covered payroll Authority's proportionate share of the net OPEB liability as	\$	0.0190% 332,926 306,275	\$	0.0221% 389,983 354,575
Authority's proportionate share of the net OPEB liability Authority's covered payroll Authority's proportionate share of the net OPEB liability as percentage of its covered payroll	\$	0.0190% 332,926 306,275	\$	0.0221% 389,983 354,575

\*Based on a measurement date and actuarial valuation as of the end of the preceding fiscal year.

Note: This schedule will ultimately contain 10 years of data.

#### SCHEDULE OF AUTHORITY CONTRIBUTIONS

	June	30, 2021*	Jur	ne 30, 2020*
Required contribution	\$	9,367	\$	11,195
Contributions in relation to the required contribution	\$	9,367	\$	11,195
Authority's covered payroll	\$	206,538	\$	217,745
Contributions as a percentage of covered payroll		4.54%		5.14%
	June	30, 2019*	Jur	ne 30, 2018*
Required contribution	June \$	30, 2019* 13,106	Jur \$	ne 30, 2018* 14,927
Required contribution Contributions in relation to the required contribution	June \$ \$	,	Jur \$ \$	
	\$	13,106	Jur \$ \$ \$	14,927

\*Based on a measurement date and actuarial valuation as of the end of the preceding fiscal year.

Note: This schedule will ultimately contain 10 years of data.

## COMBINING STATEMENT OF FIDUCIARY NET POSITION CUSTODIAL FUNDS June 30, 2021

	Wea	therization Fund	Natural Resource on Damages Program Fund		ource Total ages Custodial	
ASSETS Cash	\$	340,400	\$	2,041,113	\$	2,381,513
Total assets		340,400		2,041,113		2,381,513
LIABILITIES Accounts payable		484				484
Total liabilities		484		-		484
NET POSITION Amount held for others Total net position	<u> </u>	<u>339,916</u> 339,916	<u> </u>	2,041,113		2,381,029
rotar net position	\$	339,910	Ф	2,041,115	Ф	2,381,029

## COMBINING STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN FIDUCIARY NET POSITION CUSTODIAL FUNDS For the Year Ended June 30, 2021

	Wea	atherization Fund		Natural Resource Damages ogram Fund		Total Custodial Funds
ADDITIONS Utility payments	\$	321,500	\$	_	\$	321,500
Management payments	Ψ	12,500	Ψ	-	Ψ	12,500
Investment income		69		213		282
Total additions		334,069		213		334,282
DEDUCTIONS						
Distributions to others		1,270,176		101,001		1,371,177
Administrative expenses		14,481		20,228		34,709
Total deductions		1,284,657		121,229		1,405,886
Change in net position		(950,588)		(121,016)		(1,071,604)
Net position, beginning of year		1,290,504		2,162,129		3,452,633
Net position, end of year	\$	339,916	\$	2,041,113	\$	2,381,029

# SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS HELD June 30, 2021

Description	Maturity Date	Interest/ Yield Rate	Fair Value
GENERAL FUND			
CERTIFICATES OF DEPOSIT:			
Certificate of deposit	8/17/2021	0.35%	\$ 500,000
Certificate of deposit	10/14/2021	0.90%	150,000
Certificate of deposit	2/2/2022	0.35%	900,000
Certificate of deposit	4/1/2022	0.35%	250,000
Certificate of deposit	5/16/2022	0.95%	150,000
Total certificates of deposit			1,950,000
U.S. GOVERNMENT AND AGENCY SECURITIES:			
Government National Mortgage Association security	5/15/2022	8.00%	59
Total U.S. government and agency securities			59
Total investments - General Fund			\$ 1,950,059

# SCHEDULE OF TAX EXEMPT REVENUE BONDS ISSUED AND OUTSTANDING June 30, 2021

			Original Issue	Balance Outstanding
Issued and Outstanding	Series	Closing Date	Amount	June 30, 2021
Ameren UE	1998A	09/04/98	\$ 60,000,000	\$ 60,000,000
Ameren UE	1998B	09/04/98	50,000,000	50,000,000
Ameren UE	1998C	09/04/98	50,000,000	50,000,000
Associated Electric Cooperative, Refunding	2008	03/12/08	71,550,000	71,550,000
Henry County Water	2014A	10/30/14	7,515,000	4,065,000
Jefferson County Water	2021A	06/24/21	11,950,000	11,950,000
Jefferson County Water	2021B	06/24/21	145,000	145,000
Kansas City Power & Light	2008	05/22/08	23,400,000	23,400,000
SRF, Multiple Participant Refunding	2011A	11/30/11	106,830,000	14,375,000
SRF, Multiple Participant Refunding	2013A	11/26/13	101,535,000	74,735,000
SRF, Multiple Participant	2015A	02/05/15	29,935,000	13,830,000
SRF, Multiple Participant, Refunding	2015B	12/22/15	136,105,000	110,905,000
SRF, Multiple Participant	2018A	10/18/18	31,610,000	23,990,000
SRF, Multiple Participant Refunding	2020A	03/18/20	74,110,000	50,960,000
SRF, Multiple Participant Refunding	2020B	12/03/20	100,760,000	91,650,000
Tri-County Water Authority	2010	06/01/10	10,525,000	2,105,000
Tri-County Water Authority	2015	07/08/15	30,070,000	27,670,000
Union Electric	1992	12/03/92	47,500,000	47,500,000
			\$ 943,540,000	\$ 728,830,000

# SCHEDULE OF TAX EXEMPT REVENUE BONDS ISSUED BUT MATURED June 30, 2021

		Original Issue
Issued but Refunded	Closing Date	Amount
Alpha Portland Industries, Inc.	04/29/75	\$ 1,900,000
Alpha Portland Industries, Inc.	04/29/80	1,450,000
American Cyanamid Company	04/12/94	3,450,000
American Cyanamid Company	09/17/80	3,450,000
American Cyanamid Company	08/30/79	3,700,000
American Cyanamid Company	12/01/76	9,120,000
Ameren UE	03/09/00	63,500,000
Ameren UE	03/09/00	63,000,000
Ameren UE	03/09/00	60,000,000
Armco Corporation	12/17/75	13,350,000
Amoco Division Standard Oil	02/16/77	5,400,000
Associated Electric Cooperative, Inc.	01/25/80	95,000,000
Associated Electric Cooperative, Inc. (D)	03/19/81	36,000,000
Associated Electric Cooperative, Inc.	01/21/82	71,000,000
Associated Electric Cooperative, Inc. (A)	01/21/82	50,000,000
Associated Electric Cooperative, Inc. (J)	05/04/82	73,000,000
Associated Electric Cooperative, Inc. (N)	05/18/82	9,700,000
Associated Electric Cooperative, Inc. (Y)	12/16/82	55,900,000
Associated Electric Cooperative, Inc.	12/15/83	44,100,000
Associated Electric Cooperative, Inc.	11/15/84	153,125,000
Associated Electric Cooperative, Inc.	11/29/93	27,375,000
Associated Electric Cooperative, Inc.	05/01/96	127,415,000
Bayer Corporation	05/27/97	1,600,000
Chrysler Corporation	10/30/85	16,000,000
Chrysler Corporation	06/01/93	16,000,000
Community Development Notes, 1983	10/27/93	18,000,000
Community Development Notes, 1985	04/24/85	15,000,000
Community Development Notes, 1988	06/15/88	15,000,000
Empire District Electric Company	12/20/78	8,000,000
Empire District Electric Company	12/08/93	8,000,000
Energy Efficiency Master	02/07/02	4,910,000
Energy Efficiency Master	10/08/04	13,760,000
Energy Efficiency Master	01/25/06	14,775,000
Grant Anticipation Notes, 1982	12/16/82	24,500,000
Grant Anticipation Notes, 1983	11/17/83	44,100,000
Grant Anticipation Notes, 1985	07/09/85	90,000,000
Grant Anticipation Notes, 1986	07/15/86	65,000,000
Grant Anticipation Notes, 1989	03/02/89	14,850,000
Great Lakes Carbon	09/14/77	7,000,000
Great Lakes Container Corporation	07/24/80	800,000
Gulf & Western Industries, Inc. (Lone Star)	08/01/78	11,000,000
Henry County Water	05/01/96	13,000,000
Henry County Water	08/01/04	465,000

# SCHEDULE OF TAX EXEMPT REVENUE BONDS ISSUED BUT MATURED June 30, 2021 (continued)

		Original Issue
Issued but Refunded	Closing Date	Amount
Henry County Water	08/01/04	11,815,000
Kansas City Power & Light Company	07/19/78	31,000,000
Kansas City Power & Light Company	10/26/77	20,000,000
Kansas City Power & Light, Series 1993	10/13/93	12,366,000
Kansas City Power & Light	09/15/92	31,000,000
Lone Star Industries, Inc.	07/17/84	8,300,000
Lone Star Industries, Inc.	08/29/84	800,000
Metropolitan Sewer District, Series 1991	01/10/91	68,000,000
Metropolitan Sewer District, Series 1992A	01/14/92	85,000,000
Metropolitan Sewer District, Series 1993	12/09/93	50,000,000
Middlefork Water Company, Series 1992	05/28/92	2,000,000
Middlefork Water Company	05/24/01	1,620,000
Missouri-American Water Company	03/18/93	5,000,000
Missouri-American Water Company	07/01/96	6,000,000
Missouri-American Water Company	11/24/98	19,000,000
Missouri-American Water Company	02/01/98	4,500,000
Missouri-American Water Company	03/28/00	29,000,000
Missouri-American Water Company	04/24/02	15,000,000
Missouri-American Water Company	04/27/06	57,480,000
Missouri Cities Water	02/12/91	4,500,000
Mobay Chemical Corporation	04/18/75	7,500,000
Mobay Chemical Corporation	09/11/75	3,500,000
Mobay Chemical Corporation	03/15/78	11,000,000
Mobay Chemical Corporation	05/10/78	825,000
Mobay Chemical Corporation	04/18/79	11,000,000
Mobay Chemical Corporation	12/05/85	1,600,000
Monsanto Company	08/03/78	2,370,000
Monsanto Company	01/09/79	10,250,000
Monsanto Company	09/06/79	2,900,000
Monsanto Company	12/15/82	9,325,000
Monsanto Company	06/09/93	14,520,000
Monsanto Company	11/08/84	2,890,000
Monsanto Company	11/10/88	7,950,000
Monsanto Company	06/09/93	14,520,000
Noranda Aluminum, Inc.	04/27/76	10,500,000
Noranda Aluminum, Inc.	10/29/82	45,000,000
Raytown Water Company	04/23/92	3,000,000
Raytown Water Company	07/30/99	2,670,000
Raytown Water Company	09/26/08	970,000
Raytown Water Company	02/13/13	1,015,000
Reynolds Metal Company	12/31/85	750,000
River Cement Company	05/29/80	5,700,000
SRF, Branson	05/02/95	17,450,000

## SCHEDULE OF TAX EXEMPT REVENUE BONDS ISSUED BUT MATURED June 30, 2021

(continued)

lanuad hut Dafundad	Clasher D	Original Issue
Issued but Refunded SRF, Cape Girardeau	<u>Closing Date</u> 06/29/95	Amount 11,462,66
SRF, Multiple Participant 1992A	06/16/92	48,295,000
SRF, Kansas City	04/26/96	
SRF, Kansas City		24,000,000
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	04/24/97	5,730,000
SRF, Kansas City	04/25/95 04/24/97	18,000,000
SRF, Kansas City	10/25/90	22,235,000
SRF, Springfield	01/06/91	32,650,00
SRF, Lees Summit		9,695,00
SRF, Little Blue Valley Sewer District	01/30/03	88,915,00
SRF, Multiple Participant, Refunding	06/26/97	15,785,00
SRF, Multiple Participant, Refunding	02/17/10	205,420,00
SRF, Multiple Participant	01/14/91	13,550,00
SRF, Multiple Participant	09/08/93	22,425,00
SRF, Multiple Participant	08/18/94	12,215,00
SRF, Multiple Participant	06/29/95	30,000,00
SRF, Multiple Participant	12/01/94	43,230,00
SRF, Multiple Participant	10/14/95	26,410,00
SRF, Multiple Participant	04/25/96	4,545,00
SRF, Multiple Participant	06/01/98	2,500,00
RF, Multiple Participant	04/20/07	57,430,00
SRF, Multiple Participant	06/12/96	14,185,00
SRF, Multiple Participant	12/18/96	23,600,00
SRF, Multiple Participant	06/05/97	24,060,00
SRF, Multiple Participant	12/01/97	14,015,00
SRF, Multiple Participant	04/01/98	16,480,00
SRF, Multiple Participant	06/2/6/01	122,060,00
SRF, Multiple Participant	12/02/98	45,900,00
SRF, Multiple Participant	06/02/99	47,970,00
SRF, Multiple Participant	12/02/99	13,870,00
SRF, Multiple Participant	04/12/00	52,640,00
SRF, Multiple Participant	11/21/00	41,485,00
SRF, Multiple Participant	04/18/01	13,930,00
SRF, Multiple Participant	05/08/02	112,280,00
SRF, Multiple Participant	05/08/02	29,545,00
RF, Multiple Participant	10/25/02	103,065,00
RF, Multiple Participant	04/01/03	39,940,00
RF, Multiple Participant	11/06/03	27,895,00
RF, Multiple Participant	05/12/04	179,780,00
SRF, Multiple Participant	11/19/04	39,895,00
SRF, Multiple Participant	11/30/05	85,210,00
SRF, Multiple Participant	04/27/06	87,505,00
SRF, Multiple Participant	11/03/06	22,105,00
SRF, Multiple Participant	11/15/07	56,720,00
SRF, Multiple Participant	10/30/08	69,435,00
SRF, Multiple Participant	11/17/10	65,920,00

# SCHEDULE OF TAX EXEMPT REVENUE BONDS ISSUED BUT MATURED June 30, 2021 (continued)

		Original Issue
Issued but Refunded	Closing Date	Amount
SRF, Multiple Participant	03/23/04	77,625,000
SRF, Multiple Participant	05/06/05	53,060,000
SRF - MSD Notes	06/08/00	72,545,000
Standard Oil Company (Amoco Division)	07/22/80	8,300,000
St. Joseph Light & Power Company	12/30/80	5,300,000
St. Joseph Light & Power Company	02/24/83	5,600,000
St. Joseph Light & Power Company	07/21/89	5,600,000
St. Joseph Light & Power Company	06/14/95	5,600,000
St. Joseph Mineral Corporation	12/20/73	7,000,000
St. Louis County Water	02/12/91	25,000,000
St. Louis County Water	02/26/92	25,000,000
St. Louis County Water	03/25/93	15,000,000
St. Louis County Water	06/20/95	12,000,000
St. Louis County Water	11/01/96	20,000,000
St. Louis County Water	03/01/98	25,000,000
St. Louis County Water	03/24/99	40,000,000
Tri-County Water Company	04/30/92	8,365,000
Tri-County Water Company	09/01/99	14,760,000
Union Electric Company (1995 A&B)	02/26/92	126,500,000
Union Electric (1993 A)	10/13/93	44,000,000
Union Electric Company	04/25/74	16,500,000
Union Electric Company	06/11/75	22,000,000
Union Electric Company	05/30/90	60,000,000
Union Electric Company	11/01/77	27,085,000
Union Electric Company	08/20/80	60,000,000
Union Electric Company	10/08/81	45,000,000
Union Electric Company	12/15/82	20,000,000
Union Electric Company (Series A & B, 1984)	06/21/84	160,000,000
Union Electric Company (Series C, 1984)	11/14/84	47,500,000
Union Electric	12/17/91	42,585,000
UtiliCorp United, Inc.	05/26/93	5,000,000
Wentzville, City of	05/08/81	6,350,000
		\$ 5,174,538,661
		φ 3,174,330,001

# MARKET DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM -FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE AWARDS

From Inception Through June 30, 2021



2005 West Broadway, Suite 100, Columbia, MO 65203 OFFICE (573) 442-6171 FAX (573) 777-7800

3220 West Edgewood, Suite E, Jefferson City, MO 65109 OFFICE (573) 635-6196 FAX (573) 644-7240

www.williamskeepers.com

## **INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT**

Members of the Board of the State Environmental Improvement and Energy Resources Authority

We have audited, in accordance with U.S. generally accepted auditing standards, the financial statements of the State Environmental Improvement and Energy Resources Authority (the Authority) for the year ended June 30, 2021, and have issued our report thereon dated November 15, 2021. We have also audited the accompanying Schedule of Missouri Market Development Program - Financial Assistance Awards of the Authority (the Schedule) from inception through June 30, 2021.

### Management's Responsibility for the Schedule

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of this schedule in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of the Schedule that is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Schedule based on our audit. We conducted our audit of the Schedule in accordance with U.S. generally accepted auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the Schedule is free of material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the Schedule. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the Schedule, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the Authority's preparation and fair presentation of the Schedule in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Authority's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the Schedule.

We believe the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

## Opinion

In our opinion, the Schedule of Missouri Market Development Program - Financial Assistance Awards referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, such program awards of the State Environmental Improvement and Energy Resources Authority from inception through June 30, 2021, in conformity with the cash basis of accounting as described in Note 2.

### **Other Matter**

As described in Note 2, the accompanying schedule is prepared on the cash basis of accounting, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Williams Keepers UC

November 15, 2021
## SCHEDULE OF MISSOURI MARKET DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE AWARDS (CASH BASIS) FROM INCEPTION THROUGH JUNE 30, 2021

Project	A	Amount	Amounts Paid/Lapsed in Prior Years		Amounts Paid/Lapsed in Fiscal Year 2021	Unexpired Balance June 30, 2021	
EV 102 Ducicate							-
FY '92 Projects City of Maryville	\$	43,000	\$	43,000	\$ -	\$ -	
Memphis City Sanitation	Φ	+3,000 8,500	φ	+3,000 8,500	φ -	φ -	
Galamet, Inc.		50,000		50,000	_		
Recycled Plastics Corp.		50,000		50,000	-		
Spectrum Technologists		16,990		16,990	_		
The Surplus Exchange		35,000		35,000	_		
Ultra-Technologies		17,000		17,000	_		
Cooperative Workshops, Inc.		49,750		49,750	_	_	
Boonslick Industries		15,000		15,000	-		
Jamegy, Inc.		25,000		25,000	_	_	
Recycled Plastic Resins, Inc.		50,000		50,000	_	_	
Missouri Enterprise		49,433		49,433	-		
Versa-Tag, Inc.		8,280		8,280	-	-	
Gateway to Gardening		40,200		40,200	-	-	
Louisiana-Pacific Corp.		92,000		92,000	-	-	
Williams & Jelks		50,000		50,000	-	-	
Print-Pak, Inc.		85,500		85,500	-	-	
This Tax, no.		02,200		05,500			
FY '93 Projects							
Sanders Enterprises, Inc.		75,000		75,000	-	-	
Reclaim, Inc.		75,000		75,000	-	-	
Midway Plastics, Inc.		25,000		25,000	-	-	
Sikeston Recycling		75,000		75,000	-	-	
USA Recycling, Inc.		75,000		75,000	-	-	
P.K. Insulation Manufacturing Co., Inc.		75,000		75,000	-	-	
Environmental Recycling, Inc.		63,000		63,000	-	-	
FY '94 Projects							
Bryant Plastics, Inc.		75,000		75,000	-	-	
Come Play Products Company		75,000		75,000	-	-	
Environmental Defense Fund		15,000		15,000	-	-	
Bridging the Gap		10,000		10,000	-	-	
Tri-Smith Plastic Recyclers, Inc.		50,000		50,000	-	-	
ALB Enterprises, Inc.		35,000		35,000	-	-	
		·		· ·			

## SCHEDULE OF MISSOURI MARKET DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE AWARDS (CASH BASIS) FROM INCEPTION THROUGH JUNE 30, 2021 (continued)

Decised			Pa	Amounts id/Lapsed in Prior	Amounts Paid/Lapsed in Fiscal Year 2021		Unexp Balar June	nce 30,
Project	<i>P</i>	mount		Years	Y ear 2	2021	202	21
FY '95 Projects								
Miller Manufacturing and Lumber	\$	50,000	\$	50,000	\$	-	\$	-
Ozark Mountain Resins		50,000		50,000		-		-
Paint Solutions		45,000		45,000		-		-
Recovery and Recycling		50,000		50,000		-		-
Coon Manufacturing		75,000		75,000		-		-
Pnu-Light Tool		75,000		75,000		-		-
Recycled Plastic Resins		63,000		63,000		-		-
NEMO Recycling		75,000		75,000		-		-
B and B Pallet Supply		45,000		45,000		-		-
The Pallet Connection		35,000		35,000		-		-
FY '96 Projects								
RSS Recycling		37,500		37,500		-		-
M & H Pallet Company		75,000		75,000		-		-
C & C Pallet Company		75,000		75,000		-		-
Sunset Turf Nurseries, Inc.		75,000		75,000		-		-
Osage Ag Concerns		75,000		75,000		-		-
American Disposal Services of Missouri		75,000		75,000		-		-
P.K. Insulation Manufacturing Company		50,000		50,000		-		-
FY '97 Projects								
City of St. Peters		97,768		97,768		-		-
For The Children, Inc.		150,000		150,000		-		-
Ozark Rivers Environmental		150,000		150,000		-		-
Strategic Materials, Inc.		75,000		75,000		-		-
Plastec, Inc.		75,000		75,000		-		-
S & S Wood Products		75,000		75,000		-		-
Coon Manufacturing		75,000		75,000		-		-
Bryant Plastics		33,430		33,430		-		-

# SCHEDULE OF MISSOURI MARKET DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE AWARDS (CASH BASIS) FROM INCEPTION THROUGH JUNE 30, 2021

(continued)

Project	 Amount	Amounts Paid/Lapsed in Prior ount Years		Amounts Paid/Lapsed in Fiscal Year 2021		Bala	pired ance e 30, 21
FY '98 Projects							
PR Recycling	\$ 60,000	\$	60,000	\$	-	\$	-
Dura Board, Inc.	60,000		60,000		-		-
Second Chance Materials	60,000		60,000		-		-
Dept. of Public Works, City of Springfield	60,000		60,000		-		-
Dept. of Public Works, City of Lee's Summit	28,710		28,710		-		-
Agricultural Waste Management, Inc.	30,000		30,000		-		-
Missouri Enterprise Business Assistance	67,325		67,325		-		-
Panel Products	60,000		60,000		-		-
FY '99 Projects							
Loganbill Shavings	50,000		50,000		_		-
Advance Toner	49,384		49,384		-		-
Canbrands International	23,959		23,959		-		-
Lucent Recycling	40,875		40,875		-		-
FY '00 Projects							
CNC Recycling	42,450		42,450		-		-
Green Farm Pilot	48,750		48,750		-		-
NuRoad Systems	170,000		170,000		_		-
UMR/Futuretek	159,000		159,000		_		-
Central Paper	50,000		50,000		-		-
B&B Organics	37,500		37,500		-		-
Canbrands(2)	50,000		50,000		_		-
Organic Res. Management	50,000		50,000		-		-
Coolbrook Corp.	50,000		50,000		-		-
Enviro Tech	50,000		50,000		-		-

# SCHEDULE OF MISSOURI MARKET DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE AWARDS (CASH BASIS) FROM INCEPTION THROUGH JUNE 30, 2021

(continued)

Project	A	amount	Paio ii	mounts d/Lapsed n Prior Years	Amounts Paid/Lapsed in Fiscal Year 2021	Unexpired Balance June 30, 2021
FY '01 Projects						
Coon Manufacturing	\$	50,000	\$	50,000	\$ -	\$ -
EnviroPak	φ	50,000	Φ	50,000	ф —	ф <b>-</b>
Mountain Ridge Recycling		50,000		50,000	_	_
Midwest Mulch Manufacturing		35,000		35,000	_	_
Tico Manufacturing		50,000		50,000		
Tiro-Block		50,000		50,000		_
Proctor and Gamble		50,000		50,000		_
City of Columbia		50,000		50,000	_	_
Reynolds County Sheltered Workshop		50,000		50,000		_
Reynolds County Shenered Workshop		50,000		50,000	-	-
FY '02 Projects						
Apple Cabinets		22,425		22,425	-	-
BioSpan Technologies		50,000		50,000	-	-
Bryant Plastics		32,500		32,500	-	-
DMR Plastics		50,000		50,000	-	-
Loganbill Shavings & Mulch		50,000		50,000	-	-
Magic Green Corp		50,000		50,000	-	-
Missouri Hardwood Products		50,000		50,000	-	-
PK Insulation		50,000		50,000	-	-
Second Chance		44,189		44,189	-	-
USA Recycling		50,000		50,000	-	-
Waste Not Recycling		49,950		49,950	-	-
Welch Products		50,000		50,000	-	-
FY '03 Projects						
Baden Car Parts, Inc.		50,000		50,000	_	_
Coon Manufacturing, Inc.		26,548		26,548		_
Forrest Keeling Nursery, Inc.		50,000		20,948 50,000		_
ORMI		25,000		25,000		
SandVista		50,000		23,000 50,000		_
Sho-Me Pallets		50,000		50,000 50,000	-	-
The Smashed Chefs		25,867		25,867	-	-
TRI-Rinse, Inc.		37,384		23,807 37,384	-	-
Web Innovations & Tech. Services		50,000		50,000	-	-
		50,000		50,000	-	-

## SCHEDULE OF MISSOURI MARKET DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE AWARDS (CASH BASIS) FROM INCEPTION THROUGH JUNE 30, 2021 (continued)

Project	A	mount	Pai i	Amounts Paid/Lapsed in Prior Years		Amounts Paid/Lapsed in Fiscal Year 2021		xpired ance e 30, )21
FY '04 Projects								
BFC Composting	\$	50,000	\$	50,000	\$	_	\$	-
Birdville USA LLC	Ψ	50,000	Ψ	50,000	Ψ	_	φ	-
Encore Building Solutions, Inc.		50,000		50,000		-		_
Flick Seed Company		50,000		50,000		-		-
Hi-Tech Charities		50,000		50,000		-		-
Horner Charcoal, Inc.		50,000		50,000		-		-
Irresistible Community Builders		50,000		50,000		-		-
Lamar Feed & Grain, Inc.		44,253		44,253		-		-
Missouri Botanical Gardens		21,000		21,000		-		-
Missouri REI Study		38,109		38,109		-		-
Southland Flooring Supplies		50,000		50,000		-		-
Windswept Worm Farm LLC		50,000		50,000		-		-
Young's Innovations		3,633		3,633		-		-
FY '05 Projects								
Fiberlite Technology, Inc.		50,000		50,000		-		-
Grisham Farm Products, Inc.		46,275		46,275		-		-
J&J Industrial Supply, Inc.		50,000		50,000		-		-
Missouri Bio-Fuels, LLC		45,656		45,656		-		-
Missouri Organic Recycling		50,000		50,000		-		-
Remains, Inc.		50,000		50,000		-		-
Rustique, Inc.		50,000		50,000		-		-
Ryan Enterprise, Inc.		50,000		50,000		-		-

## SCHEDULE OF MISSOURI MARKET DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE AWARDS (CASH BASIS) FROM INCEPTION THROUGH JUNE 30, 2021 (continued)

Project	A	Amount	Pa	Amounts id/Lapsed in Prior Years	Amounts Paid/Lapsed in Fiscal Year 2021		Unexpired Balance June 30, 2021	
FY '06 Projects								
C.H.P. Environmental, Inc.	\$	50,000	\$	50,000	\$	-	\$	-
DoCo, Inc.		50,000		50,000		-		-
EPC, Inc.		34,800		34,800		-		-
International Mulch Company, Inc.		40,000		40,000		-		-
Loganbill Enterprises, Inc.		50,000		50,000		-		-
Missouri Botanical Gardens		5,400		5,400		-		-
Nike IHM		50,000		50,000		-		-
Recycling Concepts, Inc.		50,000		50,000		-		-
Strategic Materials, Inc.		50,000		50,000		-		-
FY '07 Projects								
Bart Menning Tree Service LLC.		50,000		50,000		-		-
Customix Corp		23,317		23,317		-		-
Coon Mfg., Inc.		22,877		22,877		-		-
DCAL Services, LLC		39,000		39,000		-		-
Laclede Industries, Inc.		49,287		49,287		-		-
Mtn. Vue Enterprises, LLC		50,000		50,000		-		-
Plastic Lumber Co. of America, LLC		50,000		50,000		-		-
RAMM Enterprises, Inc.*		50,000		50,000		-		-
Sunshine Recycling		42,000		42,000		-		-
Wahlco/DW Tool, Inc.		50,000		50,000		-		-
FY '08 Projects								
Alternative Community Training, Inc.		50,000		50,000		-		-
Central Missouri Poultry Procedures		23,332		23,332		-		-
Eco Recycling, Inc.		50,000		50,000		-		-
Eharas Service & Solutions, Inc.		50,000		50,000		-		-
Halphin Enterprises d/b/a Windswept Worm Farm		35,000		35,000		-		-
Hansen's Tree Service & Environmental Wood		50,000		50,000		-		-
Loganbill Enterprises, Inc.		35,000		35,000		-		-
Performance Roof Systems		50,000		50,000		-		-

\* RAMM Enterprises, Inc. returned these funds to the Authority during fiscal year 2008.

#### SCHEDULE OF MISSOURI MARKET DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE AWARDS (CASH BASIS) FROM INCEPTION THROUGH JUNE 30, 2021 (continued)

Project	Amount	Amounts Paid/Lapsed in Prior Years		Amounts Paid/Lapsed in Fiscal Year 2021	Unexpired Balance June 30, 2021
·					
FY '09 Projects Asphalt Products, Inc. \$	50.000	\$	50.000	¢	¢
1	50,000 50,000	Ф	50,000	\$ -	\$ -
Cedar Ridge Innovations, LLC Double G Brands, Inc.	50,000		50,000 50,000	-	-
Swift Construction Company, Inc.	50,000		50,000	-	-
Thomason Brothers, Inc.	50,000		50,000	-	-
Thomason Bromers, Inc.	30,000		30,000	-	-
FY '10 Projects					
All Points Recycling, LLC	45,500		45,500	-	-
BFC Composting	50,000		50,000	-	-
Braik Brothers Tree Care	50,000		50,000	-	-
Coon Mfg, Inc.	50,000		50,000	-	-
Enginuity, LLC	50,000		50,000	-	-
GT Management, LLC	50,000		50,000	-	-
Hampton Alternative Energy Products, LLC	50,000		50,000	-	-
Missouri Organic Recycling, Inc.	33,500		33,500	-	-
Randolph County Sheltered Industries	50,000		50,000	-	-
Sikeston Community Sheltered Workshop	50,000		50,000	-	-
Stanfill Family d/b/a Rustique Enterprises	50,000		45,521	-	4,479
FY '11 Projects					
3G Processing, LLC	50,000		50,000	-	-
Bryant Plastics	50,000		50,000	-	-
Customix Corp d/b/a Aggieville	50,000		50,000	-	-
EXT, Inc.	46,000		46,000	-	-
Fick Supply Service, Inc.	50,000		50,000	-	-
Foundation Workshop	35,500		35,500	-	-
Nike IHM	50,000		50,000	-	-
Thomason Brothers, Inc.	50,000		50,000	-	-

### SCHEDULE OF MISSOURI MARKET DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE AWARDS (CASH BASIS) FROM INCEPTION THROUGH JUNE 30, 2021 (continued)

Dusingst	A	Amounts Paid/Lapsed in Prior	Amounts Paid/Lapsed in Fiscal	Unexpired Balance June 30,
Project	Amount	Years	Year 2021	2021
FY '12 Projects				
B&G Skid Removal	\$ 50,000	\$ 50,000	\$ -	\$ -
Dairy Farmers of America, Inc.	39,818	39,818	-	-
Enginuity	50,000	50,000	-	-
Missouri Woodworking Specialties	39,371	39,371	-	-
Prock Operations, Inc.	50,000	50,000	-	-
Remains, Inc.	36,250	36,250	-	-
FY '13 Projects				
Ciona Technologies	250,000	250,000	-	-
Foam Products	45,750	45,750	-	-
Lake Area Industries**	20,715	20,715	-	-
Master Marble	50,000	50,000	-	-
Missouri Organic Recycling	46,600	46,600	-	-
Nature's Methane	250,000	250,000	-	-
St. Joseph Plastic	250,000	250,000	-	-
FY '14 Projects				
Bluebird Composting, LLC	75,000	75,000	-	-
EXT, Inc.	75,000	75,000	-	-
Lake Area Industries	18,285	18,285	-	-
Lake Area Industries	63,000	63,000	-	-
Loganbill Enterprises of Missouri, LLC	50,000	50,000	-	-
Madison County Wood Products	42,000	42,000	-	-
FY '15 Projects				
Avenue of Life, Inc.	73,000	73,000	-	-
QRS, Inc.	250,000	250,000	-	-
DMR Plastics, Inc.	100,000	100,000	-	-
St. Louis Composting, Inc.	220,000	220,000	-	-
Liquid Soap Products, Ltd.	250,000	250,000	-	-
Foam Products Corp.	44,447	44,447	-	-

\*\* Lake Area Industries returned \$6,750 of these funds to the Authority during fiscal year 2016.

### SCHEDULE OF MISSOURI MARKET DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE AWARDS (CASH BASIS) FROM INCEPTION THROUGH JUNE 30, 2021 (continued)

Project		Amount	Amounts Paid/Lapsed in Prior Years		Amounts Paid/Lapsed in Fiscal Year 2021	Unexpired Balance June 30, 2021	
FY '16 Projects							-
BFC Composting	\$	69,750	\$	69,750	\$-	\$ -	
Branch Creek, LLC	Φ	100,000	ψ	100,000	φ –	φ - -	
Bryant Plastics, Inc.		61,875		61,875	-	-	
Midwest Organics, Inc.		250,000		250,000	-	-	
Missouri Organic Recycling, Inc.		250,000		250,000	-	-	
FY '17 Projects							
EPC, Inc.		200,000		200,000	-	-	
Enginuity, LLC		250,000		250,000	-	-	
Granuband Macon, LLC		200,000		200,000	-	-	
St. James Winery, Inc.		175,500		124,906	-	50,594	
FY '18 Projects							
Cedar Valley Components		50,000		50,000	-	-	
Coon Mfg., Inc.		60,075		60,075	-	-	
Lumber Logs, LLC		27,746		27,746	-	-	
Ext, Inc.		99,950		99,950	-	-	
Re-Poly, LLC		250,000		-	-	250,000	
FY '19 Projects							
Evertrak, LLC		218,000		-	159,445	58,555	
Ozark Shavings, LLC		250,000		250,000	-	-	
Prock Operations d/b/a For Your Convenience		18,000		18,000	-	-	
Refab		169,500		9,835	40,121	119,544	
FY '20 Projects							
Arcana, LLC d/b/a Switchgrass Spirits		13,884		-	12,034	1,850	
Catalytic Innovations, LLC		250,000		-	-	250,000	
Fick Supply Services, Inc.		200,000		200,000	-	-	
Foam Products Corporation		32,500		-	32,500	-	
St. Louis Composting, Inc.		250,000		-	250,000	-	
Service Recycling, LLC Wilson Industries		24,375		-	21,713	2,662	
witson maustries		100,000		100,000	-	-	

#### SCHEDULE OF MISSOURI MARKET DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE AWARDS (CASH BASIS) FROM INCEPTION THROUGH JUNE 30, 2021 (continued)

Project	A	Amounts Paid/Lapsed in Prior mount Years		Amounts Paid/Lapsed in Fiscal Year 2021		Unexpired Balance June 30, 2021		
FY '21 Projects								
Full Circle Forest Products, LLC	\$	95,217	\$	-	\$	86,500	\$	8,717
ORMI dba Kansas City Composting		195,570		-		195,570		-
Urban Lumber Company		19,988		-		-		19,988
Starburn Enterprises dba St. Joseph Plastics		100,000		-		-		100,000
Northwest Missouri State University		40,095		-		-		40,095
J. Carter Enterprise LLC		224,000		-		-		224,000
	\$ 15	5,756,867	\$ 13,82	28,500	\$	797,883	\$	1,130,484

#### NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF MISSOURI MARKET DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM

#### 1. BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE

The State Environmental Improvement and Energy Resources Authority (the Authority), created in 1972, is an independent, self-supporting, quasi-governmental agency, governed by a five-member Board appointed by the Governor of the State of Missouri. The Authority is administratively placed in the Missouri Department of Natural Resources.

Due to the special independent status as "a body corporate and politic," the Authority is authorized to finance, acquire, construct and equip projects for the purpose of reducing, preventing or controlling pollution and to provide for the development of energy resources of the State of Missouri. The usual method of financing is through the issuance of tax-exempt revenue bonds and notes.

The Authority is also empowered to conduct environmental and energy research and development activities, develop alternative methods of financing environmental and energy projects, and assist Missouri communities, organizations, and businesses in obtaining low-cost funds and other financial assistance for projects related to the Authority's purposes.

The Authority has also been mandated by the General Assembly (RSMo 260.005 through 260.125) to implement a number of projects in cooperation with the Department of Natural Resources and the Department of Economic Development, including administering the Missouri Market Development Program which provides market development assistance through technical and financial support to businesses and organizations that develop marketable end-products from recycled materials.

Pursuant to Senate Bill 530, Section 260.335, in March 1992, the Authority entered into an interagency agreement with the Missouri Department of Natural Resources and the Missouri Department of Economic Development to promote markets for recycled materials and to provide financial assistance for businesses that use recycled materials in making new products. As required in that legislation, the program was provided annual funding of \$1,000,000 upon appropriation by the Missouri Legislature. The funds come from the Solid Waste Management Fund. Pursuant to House Bill 1040, the funding available changed to \$648,000 for fiscal 2005. For fiscal 2006 and beyond, Senate Bill 230 provides \$800,000 from solid waste tipping fees for the program annually. Solid waste tipping fees are a per ton fee levied on solid waste disposed at landfills and transported out of state for disposal through transfer stations. Additional program assistance has been secured through contracts with the Missouri Council of Governments and Missouri Business Assistance Center. The Missouri Department of Economic Development has been and will continue to be used in an advisory capacity.

### 2. ACCOUNTING POLICIES

*Method of Accounting:* The accounts of the Authority are organized on the basis of funds and account groups. The Market Development Program is accounted for as a special revenue fund. Information shown in the accompanying Schedule of Missouri Market Development Program is presented on the cash basis.

*Income Taxes:* The Authority is exempt from federal income taxes under Section 115 of the Internal Revenue Code.

# State Environmental Improvement and Energy Resources Authority 351<sup>st</sup> Board Meeting December 16, 2021

#### Agenda Item #4B TRANSFER OF GIC FROM FSA CAPITAL MANAGEMENT SERVICES, LLC TO MASSMUTUAL

### <u>lssue</u>:

FSA Capital Management Services LLC, one of our SRF guaranteed investment contract (GIC) providers, is winding down its GIC business and has negotiated a transfer of our investments to another investment provider, Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Company (Mass Mutual).

#### Action Needed:

Authorization for the Director to consent to the transfer and to instruct the Trustee [United Missouri Bank – UMB Bank, N.A.] to execute a separate assignment agreement that will allow the transfer to Mass Mutual.

#### **Staff Recommendation:**

Staff recommends consent to the transfer.

#### Staff Contact:

Joe Boland

### Background:

FSA Capital Management Services LLC, is the provider for one of our remaining Guaranteed Investment Contracts (GIC) for SRF bonds. In October, the Authority received notice of their intent to divest themselves of any remaining GIC investments.

In the notice, FSA further explains that it ceased issuing new GICs in 2008 and is currently winding down its businesses as part of the orderly resolution that began in 2011. One of its indirect parent companies, Dexia Credit Local S.A. (Dexia), is currently owned by French and Belgian states. However, the GICs are not obligations of, and are not guaranteed directly or indirectly by any government.

FSA has successfully negotiated an agreement to transfer all investments and obligations to Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Company (MassMutual). There would be no change in the benefits received through the investment. In turn, FSA would be released from all obligations relating to the agreements. Mass Mutual has expanded its GIC services since 2013 by acquisitions of outstanding GICs and originations of new ones. Mass Mutual is currently the obligor and servicer on over \$1 billion in GIC investments. They have long-term debt ratings of Aa3 by Moodys, AA+ by S&P and AA+ by Fitch. It is also important to note that the Mass Mutual already manages three of the Authority's outstanding GICs (2000A, 2000B and 2003A). We have had no issues with their performance. Staff and our finance professionals have reviewed the amendment, existing investment agreement and related documents and believe that this transfer is in the best interest of the Authority and the holders of SRF bonds. Staff is requesting that the Director be given the authority to consent and direct our Bond Trustee to enter into the GIC amendment.

JB:ge

# State Environmental Improvement and Energy Resources Authority 351<sup>st</sup> Board Meeting December 16, 2021

### Agenda Item #5B <u>MISSOURI MARKET DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM</u> <u>CAPITAL MATERIALS LLC</u>

## <u>lssue</u>:

Capital Materials LLC requested \$100,000 to purchase equipment costing \$174,200 that would enable the company to incorporate waste tire rubber into asphalt hot mix.

# Action Needed:

Consideration of the funding recommendations for the Capital Materials LLC project.

# Staff Recommendation:

Staff recommends funding this project in the amount of \$100,000, not to exceed 60% of the cost of the equipment.

# Staff Contact:

Kristin Tipton, Development Director

# Background:

Capital Materials LLC, located in Jefferson City, requested \$100,000 to purchase a rubber metering device costing \$174,200 that would enable the company to incorporate waste tire rubber into asphalt hot mix.

Using ground tire rubber in asphalt hot mix has documented test results that show better ride performance, longer surface wear, reduced spray, and the ability to reduce lift thickness in some applications. In the summer of 2021, Capital Materials was part of a research project in which asphalt mixes were produced with both plastics and rubber for use in the Columbia area using similar equipment. Its Columbia plant has already been retrofitted to accept the equipment and minimal modifications would be needed to modify several other plants in Missouri.

The Missouri Department of Transportation (MoDOT) was involved in the Columbia project and is currently working on rubberized asphalt specifications that will facilitate the adoption of the process statewide. The Central and St. Louis districts are already familiar with the process and have successes on the ground.

Missouri generates about 6 million passenger tires each year and there are limited end markets for them since coal facilities no longer use Tire Derived Fuel due to tightened air regulations.

The new interest in rubberized asphalt by MoDOT and by contractors could provide a beneficial alternative to disposal of tires in the state.

Capital Materials is a third-generation family-owned business that provides asphalt repair, sealing, paving and maintenance. Their projects range from heavy highway to state and local municipalities as well as residential and commercial.

Capital Materials anticipates diverting 500 tons annually from the waste stream and creating one full time employee position with this project.

The Missouri Market Development Program Steering Committee, which includes staff from the Missouri Department of Natural Resources, Missouri Department of Economic Development, the Solid Waste Advisory Board, and the Authority, recommends funding this project in the amount of \$100,000, not to exceed 60% of the cost of the equipment. This funding recommendation was unanimous.

KT:ge